arXiv:math/0112267v3 [math.AG] 20 Sep 2002

A NOTE ON FOURIER-MUKAI TRANSFORM

KŌTA YOSHIOKA

0. Introduction

Let X be an abelian or a K3 surface defined over $\mathbb{C}$. For a smooth projective variety Z, $\mathbf{D}(Z)$ denotes the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on Z. For an abelian surface or a K3 surface Y and an object $\mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{D}(X \times Y)$, an integral functer

$$\begin{array}{rcc} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathbf{D}(X) & \to & \mathbf{D}(Y) \\ x & \mapsto & \mathbf{R}p_{Y*}(p_X^*(x) \otimes \mathcal{E}) \end{array} \tag{0.1}$$

is called the Fourier-Mukai transform, if $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is an equivalence of categories, where p_X and p_Y are projections from $X \times Y$ to X and Y respectively. The Fourier-Mukai transform is a very useful tool for analysing the moduli spaces of sheaves on X. In order to apply the Fourier-Mukai transform to an actual problem, it is important to study the problem on the preservation of stability under the Fourier-Mukai transform. We assume that Y is a fine moduli space of sheaves on X and $\mathcal{E}$ is the universal family. In [Y3], [Y4], we discussed this problem and showed that the stability is preserved provided a suitable twisted degree is 0 or 1. In this note, we show that the Fourier-Mukai transform does not always preserve the stability, even for a μ-stable vector bundle. We construct two examples (see sect. 2): Assume that X is an abelian surface and $\widehat{X}$ the dual of X. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the Poincaré line bundle on $X \times \widehat{X}$. Our first example is constructed for the Fourier-Mukai functor $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ originally considered by Mukai [Mu1]. We next construct an example for the Fourier-Mukai functor on a K3 surface.

In section 3, we shall provide positive results on this problem. Let H be an ample divisor on X. For a coherent sheaf E on X, $R^i p_{Y*}(p_X^*(E(mH)) \otimes \mathcal{E}) = 0$, $i > 0$ for $m \gg 0$. Hence the Fourier-Mukai transform of $E(mH)$, $m \gg 0$ is a sheaf. Under some assumptions we shall show that the Fourier-Mukai transform preserves the stability (cf. Theorem 3.16, Theorem 3.18). In 3.1, we prepare some lemmas which will play key roles. In 3.2, we shall give some conditions under which weak index theorem holds. In particular, we give an effective bound for m such that $R^i p_{Y*}(p_X^*(E(mH)) \otimes \mathcal{E}) = 0$, $i > 0$. By using these results, we discuss the problem on the preservation of the stability conditions.

In section 4, we consider birational properties of moduli spaces on abelian surfaces X with $\rho(X) = 1$. We shall show that the Fourier-Mukai transform induced by the Poincaré line bundle induces a birational correspondence which was conjectured in [Y3] (Theorem 4.3).

Finally we would like to mention that Verbitsky [V] gets some opposite results to our results.

1. Preliminaries

Let X be a K3 surface or an abelian surface defined over $\mathbb{C}$. We define a lattice structure $\langle \quad , \quad \rangle$ on $H^{ev}(X,\mathbb{Z}) := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{2} H^{2i}(X,\mathbb{Z})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, y \rangle :=& -\int_X x^{\vee} \wedge y \\ =& \int_X (x_1 \wedge y_1 - x_0 \wedge y_2 - x_2 \wedge y_0), \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $x_i \in H^{2i}(X,\mathbb{Z})$ (resp. $y_i \in H^{2i}(X,\mathbb{Z})$) is the $2i$-th component of x (resp. y) and $x^{\vee} = x_0 - x_1 + x_2$. It is now called the Mukai lattice. For a coherent sheaf E on X,

$$\begin{aligned} v(E) :=& \operatorname{ch}(E)\sqrt{\operatorname{td}_X} \\ =& \operatorname{rk}(E) + c_1(E) + (\chi(E) - \epsilon \operatorname{rk}(E))\varrho_X \in H^{ev}(X,\mathbb{Z}) \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

is called the Mukai vector of E, where $\epsilon = 0, 1$ according as X is an abelian surface or a K3 surface and ϱ_X is the fundamental class of X.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14D20.

Key words and phrases. moduli of sheaves, Fourier-Mukai functor.

In [Y3], we introduced the notion of twisted stability. Let $K(X)$ be the Grothendieck group of X. We fix an ample divisor H on X. For $G \in K(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ with $\operatorname{rk} G > 0$, we define the G-twisted rank, degree, and Euler characteristic of $x \in K(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{rk}_G(x) &:= \operatorname{rk}(G^\vee \otimes x), \\ \deg_G(x) &:= \deg(G^\vee \otimes x) = (c_1(G^\vee \otimes x), H), \\ \chi_G(x) &:= \chi(G^\vee \otimes x). \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

We define the G-twisted stability as follows.

Definition 1.1. Let E be a torsion free sheaf on X. E is G-twisted semi-stable (resp. stable) with respect to H, if

$$\frac{\chi_G(F(nH))}{\operatorname{rk}_G(F)} \le \frac{\chi_G(E(nH))}{\operatorname{rk}_G(E)}, n \gg 0 \tag{1.4}$$

for $0 \subsetneq F \subsetneq E$ (resp. the inequality is strict).

For a Mukai vector v, we denote the moduli stack of G-twisted semi-stable sheaves E with $v(E) = v$ by $\mathcal{M}_H^G(v)^{ss}$ and the open substack consisting of G-twisted stable sheaves by $\mathcal{M}_H^G(v)^s$. Let $\overline{M}_H^G(v)$ be the moduli space of S-equivalence classes of G-twisted semi-stable sheaves E with $v(E) = v$. For a coherent sheaf E on X, let $0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_s = E$ be the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of E with respect to the μ-semi-stability. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\max,G}(E) &:= \frac{\deg_G(F_1)}{\operatorname{rk}_G(F_1)} = \frac{\deg(F_1)}{\operatorname{rk} F_1} - \frac{\deg G}{\operatorname{rk} G}, \\ \mu_{\min,G}(E) &:= \frac{\deg_G(F_s/F_{s-1})}{\operatorname{rk}_G(F_s/F_{s-1})} = \frac{\deg(F_s/F_{s-1})}{\operatorname{rk}(F_s/F_{s-1})} - \frac{\deg G}{\operatorname{rk} G}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

Definition 1.2. Let v be a Mukai vector with $\operatorname{rk} v > 0$. A polarization H on X is general with respect to v, if for every μ-semi-stable sheaf E with $v(E) = v$ and a subsheaf $F \neq 0$ of E,

$$\frac{(c_1(F), H)}{\operatorname{rk} F} = \frac{(c_1(E), H)}{\operatorname{rk} E} \text{ if and only if } \frac{c_1(F)}{\operatorname{rk} F} = \frac{c_1(E)}{\operatorname{rk} E}. \tag{1.6}$$

Let $v_0 := r_0 + \xi_0 + a_0 \varrho_X, r_0 > 0, \xi_0 \in \operatorname{NS}(X)$ be a primitive isotropic Mukai vector on X. We take a general ample divisor H with respect to v_0. We set $Y := M_H(v_0)$. Then Y is an abelian surface (resp. a K3 surface), if X is an abelian surface (resp. a K3 surface).

By the proof of [Y2, Lem. 2.1], the following lemma holds.

Lemma 1.1. [Y4, Lem. 2.1] *Assume that H is general with respect to v_0.*

(i) *If Y contains a non-locally free sheaf, then there is an exceptional vector bundle E_0 such that $v_0 = \operatorname{rk}(E_0) v(E_0^\vee) - \varrho_X$. Moreover $Y \cong X$ and a universal family is given by*

$$\mathcal{E} := \ker(E_0^\vee \boxtimes E_0 \to \mathcal{O}_\Delta). \tag{1.7}$$

(ii) *If Y consists of locally free sheaves, then they are μ-stable.*

If X is an abelian surface, then Y consists of μ-stable vector bundles. Assume that there is a universal family $\mathcal{E}$ on $X \times Y$. Let $p_X : X \times Y \to X$ (resp. $p_Y : X \times Y \to Y$) be the projection. We define $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{E} : \mathbf{D}(X) \to \mathbf{D}(Y)$ by

$$\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{E}(x) := \mathbf{R} p_{Y*}(\mathcal{E} \otimes p_X^*(x)), x \in \mathbf{D}(X), \tag{1.8}$$

and $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\mathcal{E} : \mathbf{D}(Y) \to \mathbf{D}(X)$ by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\mathcal{E}(y) := \mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{p_X}(\mathcal{E}, p_Y^*(y)), y \in \mathbf{D}(Y), \tag{1.9}$$

where $\operatorname{Hom}_{p_X}(-,-) = p_{X*} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{X \times Y}}(-,-)$ is the sheaf of relative homomorphisms. Bridgeland [Br] showed that $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{E}$ is an equivalence of categories and the inverse is given by $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\mathcal{E}[2]$. $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{E}$ is now called the Fourier-Mukai functor. We denote the i-th cohomology sheaf $H^i(\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{E}(x))$ by $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{E}^i(x)$. $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{E}$ also induces an isometry of the Mukai lattices $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{E} : H^{ev}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^{ev}(Y, \mathbb{Z})$. We are also interested in the composition of $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{E}$ and the "taking-dual" functor $\mathcal{D}_Y : \mathbf{D}(Y) \to \mathbf{D}(Y)_{op}$ sending $x \in \mathbf{D}(Y)$ to $\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om(x, \mathcal{O}_Y)$, where $\mathbf{D}(Y)_{op}$ is the opposite category of $\mathbf{D}(Y)$. By Grothendieck-Serre duality, $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{E} := (\mathcal{D}_Y \circ \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{E})[2]$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{E}(x) := \mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{p_Y}(\mathcal{E} \otimes p_X^*(x), \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y}), x \in \mathbf{D}(X). \tag{1.10}$$

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_\mathcal{E} : \mathbf{D}(Y)_{op} \to \mathbf{D}(X)$ be the inverse of $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{E}$:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_\mathcal{E}(y) := \mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{p_X}(\mathcal{E} \otimes p_Y^*(y), \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y}), y \in \mathbf{D}(Y). \tag{1.11}$$

We set $w_0 := v(\mathcal{E}_{|\{x\}\times Y}) = r_0 + \widetilde{\xi}_0 + \widetilde{a}_0 \varrho_Y$, $x \in X$, $\widetilde{\xi}_0 \in \operatorname{NS}(Y)$. For a $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle $L \in K(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, we define

$$\widehat{L} := \det(p_{Y!}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}(E))) \tag{1.12}$$

where E is an element of $K(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ with $\det E = L^\vee$ and $v(E) = -c_1(L) + \frac{1}{r_0}(c_1(L), \xi_0)\varrho_X$. More precisely, $c_1(\widehat{L}) \in \operatorname{NS}(Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is well defined. We usually identify a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor class D with the $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$. Hence $\widehat{D}$ denotes a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on Y such that $\mathcal{O}_Y(\widehat{D}) = \widehat{\mathcal{O}_Y(D)}$. Since H is general with respect to v_0, $\widehat{H}$ is an ample divisor (cf. [Y3]). Every Mukai vector v can be uniquely written as

$$v = l v_0^\vee + a\varrho_X + d\left(H - \frac{1}{r_0}(H, \xi_0)\varrho_X\right) + \left(D - \frac{1}{r_0}(D, \xi_0)\varrho_X\right), \tag{1.13}$$

where $l, a, d \in \mathbb{Q}$, and $D \in \operatorname{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cap H^\perp$. It is easy to see that $l = -\langle v, \varrho_X \rangle / \operatorname{rk} v_0$, $a = -\langle v, v_0^\vee \rangle / \operatorname{rk} v_0$ and $d = \deg_{G_1}(v)/(\operatorname{rk} v_0 (H^2))$, where $G_1 := \mathcal{E}^\vee_{|X\times\{y\}}$ for a point $y \in Y$. Since $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}(v_1) = \varrho_Y$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{E}}(w_1) = \varrho_X$, we get

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}\left(l v_0^\vee + a\varrho_X + \left(dH + D - \frac{1}{r_0}(dH + D, \xi_0)\varrho_X\right)\right) = l\varrho_Y + a w_0 - \left(d\widehat{H} + \widehat{D} + \frac{1}{r_0}(d\widehat{H} + \widehat{D}, \widetilde{\xi}_0)\varrho_Y\right) \tag{1.14}$$

where $\widehat{D} \in \operatorname{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cap \widehat{H}^\perp$.

Throughout this note, we assume the following two conditions:

(#1) $\widehat{H}$ is general with respect to w_1.
(#2) $\mathcal{E}_{|\{x\}\times Y}$ is stable with respect to $\widehat{H}$.

Remark 1.1. The assumption (#1, 2) holds for all general H, if one of the following conditions holds:

(i) X is an abelian surface,
(ii) $\operatorname{NS}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$,
(iii) Y consists of non-locally free sheaves.

For another example, see [BBH].

Since $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is an equivalence of categories, we get the following.

Lemma 1.2. *Let E be a coherent sheaf on Y. Then we have a spectral sequence*

$$E_2^{p,q} = \mathcal{F}^p_{\mathcal{E}}(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^q_{\mathcal{E}}(E)) \Rightarrow E_\infty^{p+q} = \begin{cases} E, & p+q = 2, \\ 0, & p+q \neq 2. \end{cases} \tag{1.15}$$

In particular,

(i) $\mathcal{F}^p_{\mathcal{E}}(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^0_{\mathcal{E}}(E)) = 0$, *$p = 0, 1$.*
(ii) $\mathcal{F}^p_{\mathcal{E}}(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^2_{\mathcal{E}}(E)) = 0$, *$p = 1, 2$.*
(iii) *There is an injective homomorphism* $\mathcal{F}^0_{\mathcal{E}}(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^1_{\mathcal{E}}(E)) \to \mathcal{F}^2_{\mathcal{E}}(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^0_{\mathcal{E}}(E))$.

2. COUNTER EXAMPLES

In this section, we show that the Fourier-Mukai transform does not always preserve the μ-stability of vector bundles even if Y consists of μ-stable vector bundles.

2.1. **Example 1: an abelian surface case.**

We shall first give an example for an abelian surface X with $\rho(X) \geq 2$. We shall treat the $\rho(X) = 1$ case later (see Lemma 3.21). Let (X, H) be a polarized abelian surface and $\widehat{X}$ the dual of X. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the Poincaré line bundle on $X \times \widehat{X}$. Under the natural identification $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) = H^2(\widehat{X}, \mathbb{Z})$, $\widehat{D} \in H^2(\widehat{X}, \mathbb{Z})$ denotes the Poincaré dual of $D \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. This notation is compatible with (1.12). We shall show that there is a Mukai vector v such that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ does not preserve the stability for all $E \in M_H(v)$.

Assume that there is a divisor D such that $(D, H) = 1$ and $(D^2) = -2$, (and hence we assume that $\rho(X) \geq 2$). Then $M_H((r+1) + D - \varrho_X)$ consists of μ-stable sheaves. By [Y3, Prop. 3.5], we have an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}} : M_H((r+1) + D - \varrho_X) \to M_{\widehat{H}}(1 + \widehat{D} - (r+1)\varrho_{\widehat{X}}). \tag{2.1}$$

Let $I_Z(\widehat{D})$ be an element of $M_{\widehat{H}}(1 + \widehat{D} - (r+1)\varrho_{\widehat{X}}) = X \times \operatorname{Hilb}^r_{\widehat{X}}$. Applying $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{P}}$ to the exact sequence

$$0 \to I_Z(\widehat{D}) \to \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(\widehat{D}) \to \mathcal{O}_Z \to 0, \tag{2.2}$$

we get an exact sequence

$$0 \to P \to E \to F \to 0, \tag{2.3}$$

where $P := p_{X*}(\mathcal{P}^\vee \otimes p_{\widehat{X}}^*(\mathcal{O}_Z))$, $E := \widehat{\mathcal{F}}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(I_Z(\widehat{D}))$ and $F := \widehat{\mathcal{F}}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(\widehat{D}))$ is a line bundle with $v(F) = 1 + D - \varrho_X$. By this exact sequence, E is locally free. We consider the Fourier-Mukai transform of a μ-stable vector bundle $E(D)$. Since $P(D)$ and $F(D)$ satisfy IT_1, $E(D)$ also satisfies IT_1 and $\mathcal{F}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(E(D))$ fits in an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{F}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(P(D)) \to \mathcal{F}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(E(D)) \to \mathcal{F}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(F(D)) \to 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Since $v(\mathcal{F}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(P(D))) = r(1 + \widehat{D} - \varrho_{\widehat{X}})$ and $v(\mathcal{F}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(F(D))) = 4 + 2\widehat{D} - \varrho_{\widehat{X}}$, we get that

$$\frac{(c_1(\mathcal{F}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(P(D))), \widehat{H})}{r} = 1 > \frac{1}{2} = \frac{(c_1(\mathcal{F}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(F(D))), \widehat{H})}{4}. \tag{2.5}$$

Therefore $\mathcal{F}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(E(D))$ is not μ-semi-stable.

Remark 2.1. Assume that X is a product of two elliptic curves C_1, C_2: $X = C_1 \times C_2$. We set $f := \{0\} \times C_2$ and $g := C_1 \times \{0\}$. Then $H := 2f + g$ and $D := -f + g$ satisfy the above conditions.

2.2. **Example 2: a K3 surface case.** Let (X, H) be a polarized K3 surface such that $\mathrm{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}H$ with $(H^2) = 2n$. Then $v_0 := k^2 n + kH + \varrho_X$, $k > 0$ is a primitive isotropic Mukai vector. We assume that kH is very ample.

Lemma 2.1. *$M_H(v_0) \cong M_H(1 + kH + k^2 n \varrho_X) \cong X$ and $M_H(v_0)$ consists of μ-stable vector bundles.*

Proof. We use the Fourier-Mukai functor $\mathcal{F}_{I_\Delta} : \mathbf{D}(X) \to \mathbf{D}(X)$, where I_Δ is the ideal sheaf of the diagonal $\Delta \subset X \times X$. Since kH is very ample, IT_0 holds for I_s, $s \in X$ and $\mathcal{F}^0_{I_\Delta}(I_s(kH))$ is a simple vector bundle with the Mukai vector $v_0^\vee$. Since $\mathrm{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}H$, it is also stable ([Mu3, Prop. 3.14]). Moreover $\mathcal{F}^0_{I_\Delta}(I_s(kH))$ is μ-stable: Indeed let

$$0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_s = \mathcal{F}^0_{I_\Delta}(I_s(kH)) \tag{2.6}$$

be the Jordan-Hölder filtration of $\mathcal{F}^0_{I_\Delta}(I_s(kH))$ with respect to the μ-stability. Then we can set that $v(F_i/F_{i-1}) = r_i(kn - H) + a_i \varrho_X$, where r_i and a_i are integers with $0 < r_i \leq k$. Since F_i/F_{i-1} are μ-stable, we get $\langle v(F_i/F_{i-1})^2 \rangle = 2 r_i n (r_i - k a_i) \geq -2$. If the equality holds, then $n = 1$, $r_i = 1$ and $k = 1, 2$. In these cases, kH is not very ample. Hence the equality does not hold. Thus $r_i - k a_i \geq 0$. On the other hand, since $\sum_i r_i = k$ and $\sum_i a_i = 1$, we get that $r_i - k a_i = 0$ for all i. Since $r_i \leq k$, we should have $s = 1$. Thus $\mathcal{F}^0_{I_\Delta}(I_s(kH))$ is μ-stable. Therefore we get an isomorphism

$$M_H(v_0) \to M_H(v_0^\vee) \to M_H(1 + kH + k^2 n \varrho_X) \cong X. \tag{2.7}$$

□

Remark 2.2. If $n = 1$ and $k = 1, 2$, then kH is not very ample. In these cases, we still have isomorphisms $M_H(v_0) \cong X$: Indeed $\widehat{E} := \mathrm{Ext}^2_{\pi_2}(I_\Delta \otimes \pi_1^*(I_s(kH)), \mathcal{O}_X)$, $s \in X$ is a stable sheaf with the Mukai vector v_0, where $\pi_i : X \times X \to X$, $i = 1, 2$ are two projections. If $k = 1$, then $\widehat{E}$ is isomorphic to $I_s(H)$. If $k = 2$, then $\widehat{E}$ is isomorphic to $\ker(ev : E_0 \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(E_0, \mathbb{C}_s) \to \mathbb{C}_s)$, $s \in X$, where E_0 is a stable and rigid vector bundle with $v(E_0) = 2 + H + \varrho_X$. Therefore it is not μ-stable.

Under this identification, we shall construct a universal family on $X \times X$. Let X_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$ be three copies of X. Let $p_{ij} : X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3 \to X_i \times X_j$ and $p_i : X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3 \to X_i$ be the projections. We set

$$\mathcal{E} := p_{13*}(p_{12}^*(I_\Delta) \otimes p_{23}^*(I_\Delta) \otimes p_2^*(\mathcal{O}_{X_2}(kH)))^\vee. \tag{2.8}$$

We set $E_0 := q_{3*}(I_\Delta \otimes q_1^*(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(kH)))$, where $q_i : X_1 \times X_3 \to X_i$, $i = 1, 3$ are the projections. Then E_0 is a μ-stable vector bundle with $\langle v(E_0)^2 \rangle = -2$ and $\mathcal{E}^\vee$ fits in an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{E}^\vee \to q_3^*(E_0) \to I_\Delta \otimes q_1^* \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(kH) \to 0. \tag{2.9}$$

Hence $\mathcal{E}^\vee_{|X_1 \times \{s\}} = \mathcal{F}^0_{I_\Delta}(I_s(kH))$, $s \in X_3$ is a μ-stable vector bundle with the Mukai vector $v_0^\vee$. Since $\mathcal{E}$ is invariant under the natural action of $\mathfrak{S}_2$ on $X_1 \times X_3$, $\mathcal{E}^\vee_{|\{s\} \times X_3}$, $s \in X_1$ is also a μ-stable vector bundle with the Mukai vector $v_0^\vee$. Hence $M_H(v_0) \cong X_1 \cong X_3$ and $\mathcal{E}$ becomes a universal family on $X_1 \times X_3$. By (2.9) and the $\mathfrak{S}_2$-symmetry, we see that

$$H^1(X_3, \mathcal{E}^\vee_{|\{s\} \times X_3}) = H^1(X_1, \mathcal{E}^\vee_{|X_1 \times \{s\}}) = 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Remark 2.3. By the exact sequence (2.9), we see that $\mathcal{E}^\vee_{|\{s\} \times X_3} = \mathcal{F}^0_{\mathcal{G}}(I_s)$, $s \in X_1$, where $\mathcal{G} := \ker(ev : q_1^*(E_0^\vee) \otimes q_3^*(E_0) \to \mathcal{O}_\Delta)$.

We consider the Fourier-Mukai transform $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}^\vee} : \mathbf{D}(X_1) \to \mathbf{D}(X_3)$. By the construction of $\mathcal{E}$, we get a decomposition:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}^\vee} = \mathcal{F}_{I_\Delta(q_2^{-1}(kH))} \circ \mathcal{F}_{I_\Delta} : \mathbf{D}(X_1) \to \mathbf{D}(X_2) \to \mathbf{D}(X_3). \tag{2.11}$$

Then we see that the induced homomorphism $H^{ev}(X_1,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^{ev}(X_3,\mathbb{Z})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}^\vee}(1) &= 1, \\ \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}^\vee}(\xi) &= -k(H,\xi) + \xi, \ \ \xi \in H^2(X_1,\mathbb{Z}), \\ \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}^\vee}(\varrho_{X_1}) &= k^2 n - kH + \varrho_{X_3}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let F be a stable sheaf on X_1 with $c_1(F) = H$ and $H^0(X_1,F) = 0$. Then* $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_{|X_1 \times \{s\}}, F) = 0$*, $s \in X_3$.*

Proof. Assume that there is a non-zero map $\phi : \mathcal{E}_{|X_1 \times \{s\}} \to F$. By the stability of $\mathcal{E}_{|X_1 \times \{s\}}$, $c_1(\operatorname{im}\phi) = lH, l > 0$. Since $c_1(F) = H$ and F is stable, we see that $F/\operatorname{im}\phi$ is of 0-dimensional. Thus ϕ is surjective in codimension 1. Since there is an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{O}_{X_1}^{\oplus(k^2n+1)} \to \mathcal{E}_{|X_1 \times \{s\}} \to \mathbb{C}_s \to 0, \tag{2.13}$$

we have a generically surjective map $\mathcal{O}_{X_1}^{\oplus(k^2n+1)} \to F$. Hence $H^0(X_1,F) \neq 0$, which is a contradiction. □

Lemma 2.3. *For $E \in M_H(r + H - a\varrho_{X_1})$ with $\dim H^0(X_1,E) = t$, $t \le r$, we have $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_{|X_1 \times \{s\}}, E) = 0$.*

Proof. Since $t \le r$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{X_1}^{\oplus t} \to E \to F \to 0, \tag{2.14}$$

where F is a stable sheaf with $c_1(F) = H$ ([Y1, Lem. 2.1]). Since $H^0(X_1,F) = 0$ and $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_{|X_1 \times \{s\}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_1}) = 0$, we get our claim. □

Lemma 2.4. IT_2 *holds for $\mathcal{O}_{X_1}$ with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}^\vee}$.*

Proof. Since $c_1(\mathcal{E}_{|X_1 \times \{s\}}) = kH$, $s \in X_3$, we get that $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_{|X_1 \times \{s\}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_1}) = 0$ for $s \in X_3$. By (2.10), we get that $\operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathcal{E}_{|X_1 \times \{s\}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_1}) = 0$. Therefore the claim holds. □

Proposition 2.5. *Assume that $kn > r$. Then for $E \in M_H(r+H-a\varrho_{X_1})$ with $\dim H^0(X_1,E) \le r$,* IT_1 *holds with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}^\vee}$. Moreover if $1 + k(r+a) < k^2 n$ and $H^1(X_1,E) \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{F}^1_{\mathcal{E}^\vee}(E)$ is not μ-semi-stable.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_{|X_1 \times \{s\}}, E) = 0$ for all $s \in X_3$. Since $kn > r$, the stability condition implies that $\operatorname{Ext}^2(\mathcal{E}_{|X_1 \times \{s\}}, E) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(E, \mathcal{E}_{|X_1 \times \{s\}})^\vee = 0$. Therefore IT_1 holds.

If $\operatorname{Ext}^1(E, \mathcal{O}_{X_1}) = H^1(X_1,E)^\vee \neq 0$, then we consider the universal extension

$$0 \to V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_1} \to E' \to E \to 0, \tag{2.15}$$

where $V = \operatorname{Ext}^1(E, \mathcal{O}_{X_1})^\vee$. Since $\dim H^0(X_1,E) \le r$, by using the Riemann-Roch theorem, we get $\dim V \le a$, and hence $\operatorname{rk} E' \le r + a$. If $k^2 n > (a+r)k + 1$, then $1/\operatorname{rk} E' \ge 1/(r+a) > 1/(kn)$. Since E' is stable ([Y1, Cor. 2.2]), $\operatorname{Ext}^2(\mathcal{E}_{|X_1 \times \{s\}}, E') = 0$. Therefore IT_1 holds for E'. By Lemma 2.4, IT_2 holds for $\mathcal{O}_{X_1}$. Thus we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{F}^1_{\mathcal{E}^\vee}(E') \to \mathcal{F}^1_{\mathcal{E}^\vee}(E) \to V \otimes \mathcal{F}^2_{\mathcal{E}^\vee}(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}) \to 0. \tag{2.16}$$

By (2.12), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} v(\mathcal{F}^2_{\mathcal{E}^\vee}(\mathcal{O}_{X_1})) &= (k^2n+1) - kH + \varrho_{X_3}, \\ v(\mathcal{F}^1_{\mathcal{E}^\vee}(E)) &= ((ak^2+2k)n - r) - (ak+1)H + a\varrho_{X_3}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Hence

$$\mu(\mathcal{F}^1_{\mathcal{E}^\vee}(E)) - \mu(V \otimes \mathcal{F}^2_{\mathcal{E}^\vee}(\mathcal{O}_{X_1})) = \frac{-2n(ak+1)}{(ak^2+2k)n - r} + \frac{2nk}{k^2n+1} = \frac{2n(k^2n - ((a+r)k+1))}{((ak^2+2k)n - r)(k^2n+1)} > 0. \tag{2.18}$$

Therefore $\mathcal{F}^1_{\mathcal{E}^\vee}(E)$ is not μ-semi-stable. □

If $a > r$, then $H^0(X_1,E) = 0$ for a general $E \in M_H(r + H - a\varrho_{X_1})$ ([Y1]). Hence we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.6. *If $kn \ge a > r$, then for a general element $E \in M_H(r + H - a\varrho_{X_1})$,* IT_1 *holds with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}^\vee}$. Moreover if $1 + k(r+a) < k^2 n$, then $\mathcal{F}^1_{\mathcal{E}^\vee}(E)$ is not μ-semi-stable.*

Remark 2.4. In the above example, we used the moduli space of μ-stable vector bundles. For the Fourier-Mukai transform induced by a moduli space consisting of non-locally free sheaves, it is much easy to construct such an example: For the same E in Corollary 2.6, WIT_1 holds with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{I_\Delta}$ and $\mathcal{F}^1_{I_\Delta}(E)$ fits in an exact sequence

$$0 \to E \to \mathcal{F}^1_{I_\Delta}(E) \to H^1(X,E)\otimes \mathcal{O}_X \to 0. \tag{2.19}$$

Hence $\mathcal{F}_{I_\Delta}$ does not preserve the stability condition.

3. Asymptotic results

We keep the notation in section 2.1. For a sem-stable sheaf E on an abelian surface X with $v(E) = r + \xi + a\varrho_X$, $\xi \in \mathrm{NS}(X)$ and a subsheaf E_1 with $v(E_1) = r_1 + \xi_1 + a_1\varrho_X$, $\xi_1 \in \mathrm{NS}(X)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\deg(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(E_1(mH)))}{\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(E_1(mH)))} - \frac{\deg(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(E(mH)))}{\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(E(mH)))} \\ =&\frac{-(\xi_1 + mr_1H, H)}{\chi(E_1(mH))} - \frac{-(\xi + mrH, H)}{\chi(E(mH))} \\ =&\frac{(r\xi_1 - r_1\xi, H)m^2(H^2)/2 + (ra_1 - r_1a)m(H^2) + ((\xi,H)a_1 - (\xi_1,H)a)}{\chi(E_1(mH))\chi(E(mH))} \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\frac{\chi(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(E_1(mH)))}{\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(E_1(mH)))} - \frac{\chi(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(E(mH)))}{\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(E(mH)))} = \frac{r_1\chi(E(mH)) - r\chi(E_1(mH))}{\chi(E_1(mH))\chi(E(mH))}. \tag{3.2}$$

Hence if m is sufficiently large, then E_1 does not induce a destabilizing subsheaf of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(E(mH))$.

In this section, we consider the preservation of stability for $E(mH)$, $m \gg 0$ under the Fourier-Mukai transform $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathbf{D}(X) \to \mathbf{D}(Y)$ for a general $\mathcal{E}$ with the conditions (#1, 2).

3.1. **Basic lemmas.**

Keep the notation in section 1. We set $(H^2) = 2n$. We assume that $\mathcal{E}$ satisfies conditions (#1, 2). We set $G_1 := \mathcal{E}^{\vee}_{|X\times\{y\}}$ and $G_2 := \mathcal{E}_{|\{x\}\times Y}$ for some $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$.

We note that

$$d := \frac{\deg_{G_1}(E)}{r_0(H^2)} \in \frac{1}{2nr_0}\mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.3}$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let E be a μ-semi-stable sheaf with $\deg_{G_1}(E) > 0$.*

(1) *Assume that E satisfies IT_0 with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$. Then*

$$\max\{\deg_{G_2}(F) \mid F \subset \mathcal{F}^0_{\mathcal{E}}(E)\} < 0. \tag{3.4}$$

(2) *Assume that E satisfies WIT_2 with respect to $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}}$. Then*

$$\min\{\deg_{G_2^{\vee}}(G) \mid \mathcal{G}^2_{\mathcal{E}}(E) \to G \to 0\} > 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. We shall only prove (1). The proof of (2) is similar. If the claim does not hold, then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to F_1 \to \mathcal{F}^0_{\mathcal{E}}(E) \to F_2 \to 0 \tag{3.6}$$

such that F_1 is a torsion free sheaf with $\mu_{\min,G_2}(F_1) \geq 0$ and F_2 is a torsion free sheaf with $\mu_{\max,G_2}(F_2) < 0$. Applying $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{E}}$ to this exact sequence, we get a long exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \widehat{\mathcal{F}}^0_{\mathcal{E}}(F_1) \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] & \widehat{\mathcal{F}}^0_{\mathcal{E}}(F_2) & \\ \arrow[r] & \widehat{\mathcal{F}}^1_{\mathcal{E}}(F_1) \arrow[r] & 0 \arrow[r] & \widehat{\mathcal{F}}^1_{\mathcal{E}}(F_2) & \\ \arrow[r] & \widehat{\mathcal{F}}^2_{\mathcal{E}}(F_1) \arrow[r] & E \arrow[r] & \widehat{\mathcal{F}}^2_{\mathcal{E}}(F_2) \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd} \tag{3.7}$$

Since $\mu_{\max,G_2}(F_2) < 0$, we have $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^0_{\mathcal{E}}(F_2) = 0$. By $\mu_{\min,G_2}(F_1) \geq 0$, we see that $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^2_{\mathcal{E}}(F_1)$ is 0-dimensional or 0. Since E is torsion free or purely 1-dimensional, $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^2_{\mathcal{E}}(F_1) \to E$ is a 0-map. Hence $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^1_{\mathcal{E}}(F_2) \cong \widehat{\mathcal{F}}^2_{\mathcal{E}}(F_1)$ satisfies IT_0. By Lemma 1.2, we have an injection $\mathcal{F}^0_{\mathcal{E}}(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^1_{\mathcal{E}}(F_2)) \to \mathcal{F}^2_{\mathcal{E}}(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^0_{\mathcal{E}}(F_2)) = 0$. Hence $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^1_{\mathcal{E}}(F_2) = 0$, which implies that $F_1 = 0$. Therefore our claim holds. ☐

Lemma 3.2. *Under the same assumptions,* $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_{|\{x\}\times Y}, \mathcal{F}^0_{\mathcal{E}}(E)) = 0$ *for all $x \in X$ and the set*

$$S := \{x \in X \mid \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{E}_{|\{x\}\times Y}, \mathcal{F}^0_{\mathcal{E}}(E)) \neq 0\} \tag{3.8}$$

coincides with the set

$$\{x \in X \mid E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \text{ is not free }\}, \tag{3.9}$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is the stalk of $\mathcal{O}_X$ at x.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, $\mu_{\max,G_2}(\mathcal{F}^0_{\mathcal{E}}(E)) < 0$. Hence the first claim holds. Since WIT$_2$ holds for $\mathcal{F}^0_{\mathcal{E}}(E)$, S is a proper subset of X. By the base change theorem, we get our claim. □

Lemma 3.3. *If* $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_{|\{x\}\times Y}, F) = 0$ *for all* $x \in X$*, then* $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^1_{\mathcal{E}}(F) = \operatorname{Ext}^1_{p_X}(\mathcal{E}, p_Y^*(F))$ *is locally free.*

Proof. Since $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_{|\{x\}\times Y}, F) = 0$ for all $x \in X$, there is a complex of vector bundles $V_1 \to V_2$ such that $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^i_{\mathcal{E}}(F)$, $i = 1, 2$ are cohomology sheaves. Since X is a smooth surface, $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^1_{\mathcal{E}}(F) = \operatorname{Ext}^1_{p_X}(\mathcal{E}, p_Y^*(F))$ is locally free. □

The following lemma and its variants will play important roles in subsections 3.2 and 3.3.

Lemma 3.4. *We set* $v := lv_0^\vee + a\varrho_X + (dH + D) - (dH + D, \xi_0)\varrho_X/r_0 \in H^{ev}(X, \mathbb{Z})$*, where* $l, a > 0$ *and* $D \in \operatorname{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cap H^\perp$*. We set* $N := \max\{4r_0^3 l^2 + 1/(2n), 2r_0^2 l(\langle v^2\rangle - (D^2))\}$*. Then the following hold:*

(1) *If* $d > N$*, then for any* G_2*-twisted stable sheaf* F_1 *with*

$$v(F_1) = a_1 w_0 + l_1\varrho_Y - (d_1\widehat{H} + \widehat{D}_1 + (d_1\widehat{H} + \widehat{D}_1, \widetilde{\xi}_0)\varrho_Y/r_0),\ 0 < d_1 < d \text{ and } d_1/a_1 \le d/a, \tag{3.10}$$

we have $l_1 \le ld_1/d$.

(2) *If* $d > N$*, then for any* G_1*-twisted stable sheaf* E_1 *with*

$$v(E_1) = l_1 v_0^\vee + a_1\varrho_X + (d_1 H + D_1 - (d_1 H + D_1, \xi_0)\varrho_X/r_0),\ 0 < d_1 < d \text{ and } d_1/l_1 < d/l, \tag{3.11}$$

we have $a_1 < ad_1/d$.

Proof. We set $s := \langle v^2\rangle/2 = -r_0 la + d^2 n + (D^2)/2$. We shall first prove (1). Let F_1 be a G_2-twisted stable sheaf with $v(F_1) = a_1 w_0 + l_1\varrho_Y - (d_1\widehat{H} + \widehat{D}_1 + (d_1\widehat{H} + \widehat{D}_1, \widetilde{\xi}_0)\varrho_Y/r_0)$, $0 < d_1 < d$ and $d_1/a_1 \le d/a$. By (3.3), we get that $1/(2nr_0) \le d_1 \le d - 1/(2nr_0)$. We note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle v(F_1)^2\rangle &= 2nd_1^2 - 2l_1 a_1 r_0 + (D_1^2)\\
&\le 2nd_1^2 - 2l_1 r_0 d_1 a/d\\
&= 2nd_1^2 - 2l_1 r_0 \frac{d_1}{d}\frac{d^2 n - s + (D^2)/2}{r_0 l}\\
&= 2nd_1^2 - 2l_1 d_1 \frac{d^2 n - s + (D^2)/2}{dl}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

We first show that $l_1 < l$ for $d > N$. Assume that $l_1 \ge l$. By (3.12), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
-2\epsilon \le&\langle v(F_1)^2\rangle\\
\le&2d_1^2 n - 2(d^2 n - s + (D^2)/2)d_1/d\\
=&2nd_1\left(d_1 - d + \frac{s - (D^2)/2}{dn}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

We set $n_1 := \max\{4r_0 + 1/(2nr_0), 2r_0(\langle v^2\rangle - (D^2))\}$. We note that $N > n_1$. We shall show that

$$2nd_1\left(d_1 - d + \frac{s - (D^2)/2}{dn}\right) < -2\epsilon \tag{3.14}$$

for $d > n_1$. Then by (3.13), we get a contradiction. Therefore we have $l_1 < l$ for $d > n_1$.
Proof of (3.14): It is easy to see that (3.14) follows from the following inequality:

$$d - \frac{s - (D^2)/2}{dn} > \max\left\{d_1 + \frac{\epsilon}{nd_1} \,\middle|\, d_1 = \frac{1}{2nr_0}, d - \frac{1}{2nr_0}\right\} \tag{3.15}$$

for all $d \ge n_1$. Hence we shall show (3.15): For $d > n_1$, we have $n(d - 1/(2nr_0)) > 4nr_0$ and $(s - (D^2)/2)/(dn) < 1/(4nr_0)$. Hence

$$d - \frac{1}{2nr_0} + \frac{1}{n(d - 1/(2nr_0))} < d - \frac{1}{2nr_0} + \frac{1}{4nr_0} = d - \frac{1}{4nr_0} < d - \frac{s - (D^2)/2}{dn}. \tag{3.16}$$

We also get that $1/(2nr_0) + 2r_0 \le -1/(4nr_0) + 1 + 2r_0 < -\frac{s-(D^2)/2}{dn} + d$. Therefore (3.15) holds.

We next show that $l_1 \le ld_1/d$. By (3.12), we get that

$$-2\epsilon \le \langle v(F_1)^2\rangle \le 2nd_1\left(\left(d_1 - \frac{l_1}{l}d\right) + \frac{l_1}{dnl}(s - (D^2)/2)\right). \tag{3.17}$$

We note that

$$2nd_1\left(\left(d_1 - \frac{l_1}{l}d\right) + \frac{l_1}{dnl}(s - (D^2)/2)\right) < -2\epsilon \tag{3.18}$$

if and only if $(l_1/(dnl))(s-(D^2)/2) < l_1 d/l - (d_1 + \epsilon/(nd_1))$. We shall show that $(l_1/l)d - d_1 \le 0$, if d is sufficiently large. Assume that $(l_1/l)d - d_1 > 0$. Since $1/(2nr_0) \le d_1 \le (l_1/l)d - 1/(2nlr_0^2)$, we get that

$$l_1 d/l - (d_1 + \epsilon/(nd_1)) \ge \min\left\{ l_1 d/l - 1/(2nr_0) - \epsilon 2r_0, 1/(2nlr_0^2) - \frac{\epsilon}{n(l_1 d/l - 1/(2nlr_0)^2)} \right\}. \tag{3.19}$$

We set $n_2 := 4l^2 r_0^3 + 1/(2n)$. Then we see that $n(l_1 d/l - 1/(2nlr_0)) > 4nlr_0^2$ for $d > n_2$. We set $n_3 := 2r_0^2 l + l/(2n) + 1/(4nr_0)$. Then we get that $l_1 d/l - 1/(2nr_0) - \epsilon 2r_0 \ge 1/(4nlr_0^2)$. Hence $l_1 d/l - (d_1 + \epsilon/(nd_1)) \ge 1/(4nlr_0^2)$ for $d \ge \max\{n_2, n_3\}$. So if $d > \max\{n_1, n_2, n_3, 4r_0^2 l(s-(D^2)/2)\} = N$, then $\langle v(F_1)^2\rangle < -2\epsilon$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $(l_1/l)d - d_1 \le 0$ for $d > N$.

We next prove (2). Assume that $a_1 \ge d_1 a/d$. Since $\langle v(E_1)^2\rangle = \langle v(F_1)^2\rangle$, by the same argument, we get a contradiction. Therefore $a_1 < d_1 a/d$ for $d > N$. ☐

If $d_1 = d$, then we can show the following:

Lemma 3.5. *The same claims in Lemma 3.4 hold, if $d_1 = d$. That is,*

1. *if $d > N$, then for a G_2-twisted stable sheaf F_1 with*

$$v(F_1) = a_1 w_0 + l_1 \varrho_Y - (d_1 \widehat{H} + \widehat{D}_1 + (d_1 \widehat{H} + \widehat{D}_1, \widetilde{\xi}_0)\varrho_Y/r_0),\ d_1 = d \text{ and } 0 < d_1/a_1 \le d/a, \tag{3.20}$$

we have $l_1 \le l$.

2. *If $d > N$, then for any G_1-twisted stable sheaf E_1 with*

$$v(E_1) = l_1 v_0^\vee + a_1 \varrho_X + (d_1 H + D_1 - (d_1 H + D_1, \xi_0)\varrho_X/r_0),\ d_1 = d \text{ and } l_1 > l, \tag{3.21}$$

we have $a_1 < a$.

Proof. We shall only prove (1). If $l_1 \ge l + 1/r_0$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v(F_1)^2\rangle \le & \langle v^2\rangle - (D^2) - 2a \\ = & \frac{-(2nd^2) + (lr_0+1)(\langle v^2\rangle - (D^2))}{(lr_0)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Since $d > 4r_0^3 l^2$, we get $nd^2 > 4nr_0^3 l^2 d$. Then we see that $nd^2 > 4nr_0^3 l^2 d > (lr_0+1)(\langle v^2\rangle - (D^2))$ and $nd^2 > n(4r_0^3 l^2)^2 > 2lr_0$, and hence $\langle v(F_1)^2\rangle < -2$. Therefore we get our claim. ☐

Lemma 3.6. *We set $v := a\varrho_X + (dH + D) - \frac{1}{r_0}(dH + D, \xi_0)\varrho_X \in H^{ev}(X, \mathbb{Z})$, where $D \in \operatorname{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cap H^\perp$. We set $N := \max\{(\langle v^2\rangle - (D^2))/2, 2r_0 + 1\}$. Then the following hold:*

1. *If $a > N$, then for any G_2-twisted stable sheaf F_1 with*

$$v(F_1) = a_1 w_0 + l_1 \varrho_Y - (d_1 \widehat{H} + \widehat{D}_1 + (d_1 \widehat{H} + \widehat{D}_1, \widetilde{\xi}_0)\varrho_Y/r_0),\ d_1 < d \text{ and } 0 < d_1/a_1 \le d/a, \tag{3.23}$$

we have $l_1 \le 0$.

2. *If $a > N$, then for any G_1-twisted stable sheaf E_1 with*

$$v(E_1) = l_1 v_0^\vee + a_1 \varrho_X + (d_1 \widehat{H} + \widehat{D}_1 - (d_1 H + D_1, \xi_0)\varrho_X/r_0),\ 0 < d_1 < d \text{ and } l_1 > 0, \tag{3.24}$$

we have $a_1/d_1 < a/d$.

Proof. We shall only prove (1). Let F_1 be a G_2-twisted stable sheaf with

$$v(F_1) = a_1 w_0 + l_1 \varrho_Y - ((d_1 \widehat{H} + \widehat{D}_1) + (d_1 \widehat{H} + \widehat{D}_1, \widetilde{\xi}_0)\varrho_Y/r_0),\ d_1 < d \text{ and } 0 < d_1/a_1 \le d/a. \tag{3.25}$$

Assume that $l_1 > 0$. Then $r_0 l_1 \ge 1$, and hence we see that $-2\epsilon \le \langle v(F_1)^2\rangle \le d_1^2(H^2) - 2r_0 l_1 a_1 \le d_1^2(H^2) - 2a_1 \le d_1^2(H^2) - 2ad_1/d$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} n_1 := & \max\left\{ d\left(\frac{(H^2)}{2}d_1 + \frac{\epsilon}{d_1}\right) \,\middle|\, \frac{1}{r_0(H^2)} \le d_1 \le d - \frac{1}{r_0(H^2)} \right\} \\ = & \max\left\{ d\left(\frac{(H^2)}{2}d_1 + \frac{\epsilon}{d_1}\right) \,\middle|\, d_1 = \frac{1}{r_0(H^2)}, d - \frac{1}{r_0(H^2)} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

Then we have $d_1^2(H^2) - 2ad_1/d < -2\epsilon$ for $a > n_1$. Therefore $l_1 \le 0$ for $a > n_1$. It is easy to see that $N := \max\{(\langle v^2\rangle - (D^2))/2, 2r_0 + 1\} > n_1$. Hence (1) holds. ☐

We can also show the following.

Lemma 3.7. *Keep the notations in Lemma 3.6*

1. *If $a > N + 1$, then for any G_2-twisted stable sheaf F_1 with*

$$v(F_1) = a_1 w_0 + l_1 \varrho_Y - (d_1 \widehat{H} + \widehat{D}_1 + (d_1 \widehat{H} + \widehat{D}_1, \widetilde{\xi}_0)\varrho_Y/r_0),\ d_1 = d \text{ and } 0 < d_1/a_1 \le d/a, \tag{3.27}$$

we have $l_1 \le 0$.

(2) *If $a > N+1$, then for any G_1-twisted stable sheaf E_1 with*

$$v(E_1) = l_1 v_0^{\vee} + a_1 \varrho_X + (d_1 \widehat{H} + \widehat{D}_1 - (d_1 H + D_1, \xi_0)\varrho_X / r_0),\ d_1 = d \text{ and } l_1 > 0, \tag{3.28}$$

we have $a_1/d_1 < a/d$.

Corollary 3.8. *Under the same assumptions as in Lemma 3.6, or 3.4, let F be a μ-semi-stable sheaf with $v(F) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}(v) = aw_0 + l\rho_Y - (d\widehat{H} + \widehat{D} + (d\widehat{H} + \widehat{D}, \widetilde{\xi}_0)\rho_Y/r_0)$. Then F is G_2-twisted semi-stable. Moreover, if F is G_2-twisted stable, then it is μ-stable.*

Proof. Assume that F is not μ-stable. Let

$$0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_s = F \tag{3.29}$$

be the Jordan-Hölder filtration of F with respect to the μ-stability. We set $v(F_i/F_{i-1}) = a_i w_0 + l_i \varrho_Y - (d_i \widehat{H} + \widehat{D}_i + (d_i \widehat{H} + \widehat{D}_i, \widetilde{\xi}_0)\varrho_Y / r_0)$. Applying Lemma 3.6, or 3.4 to each F_i/F_{i-1}, we get that $l_i \le ld_i/d$. Then we see that $\sum_i l_i \le \sum_i ld_i/d = l$. Since $\sum_i l_i = l$, we have $l_i = ld_i/d$ for all i. Since $d_i/a_i = d/a$, we get $l_i/a_i = l/a$, which implies that F is G_2-twisted semi-stable. By the same proof, we also see that F is μ-stable, provided that F is G_2-twisted stable. □

Remark 3.1. Under the conditions as in Lemma 3.7, or 3.5, let F be a μ-semi-stable sheaf with $v(F) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}(v) = aw_0 + l\rho_Y - (d\widehat{H} + \widehat{D} + (d\widehat{H} + \widehat{D}, \widetilde{\xi}_0)\rho_Y/r_0)$. Then we can easily show that F is locally free.

Remark 3.2. Assume that $l > 0$. We set $w := aw_0 + l\varrho_Y - (\widehat{\xi} + (\widehat{\xi}, \widetilde{\xi}_0)\varrho_Y/r_0)$. If $(\xi, H)/(H^2) > N$ and

$$\min\{-(D^2) | (D, H) = 0, D \in \mathrm{NS}(X) \setminus \{0\}\} > (r_0 l)^2(\langle w^2 \rangle + 2(r_0 l)^2 \epsilon)/4, \tag{3.30}$$

then $\widehat{H}$ is a general polarization with respect to w.

Proof of the claim: Assume that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to F_1 \to \mathcal{F}^0_{\mathcal{E}}(E) \to F_2 \to 0 \tag{3.31}$$

such that $F_1 (\ne 0)$ is a μ-semi-stable sheaf with

$$v(F_1) = v_1 := a_1 w_0 + l_1 \varrho_Y - (\widehat{\xi_1} + (\widehat{\xi_1}, \widetilde{\xi}_0)\varrho_Y/r_0), (\xi_1, H)/a_1 = (\xi, H)/a \tag{3.32}$$

and $F_2 (\ne 0)$ is a μ-semi-stable sheaf with

$$v(F_2) = v_2 := a_2 w_0 + l_2 \varrho_Y - (\widehat{\xi_2} + (\widehat{\xi_2}, \widetilde{\xi}_0)\varrho_Y/r_0), (\xi_2, H)/a_2 = (\xi, H)/a. \tag{3.33}$$

By Lemma 3.4, we see that $l_1/a_1 = l_2/a_2 = l/a$, and F_1 and F_2 are G_2-twisted semi-stable sheaves. Then we see that $\langle v_i^2 \rangle \ge -2l_i^2 r_0^2 \epsilon$. By a simple calculation, we have an inequality

$$r_0^2 l_1 l_2 (\langle w^2 \rangle + 2r_0^2 l^2 \epsilon) \ge -((r_0 l_2 \xi_1 - r_0 l_1 \xi_2)^2). \tag{3.34}$$

Since $r_0 l_2 \xi_1 - r_0 l_1 \xi_2 = (r_0 l_2) c_1(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{E}}(v_1)) - (r_0 l_1) c_1(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{E}}(v_2)) \in \mathrm{NS}(X)$, we get our claim.

3.2. **Weak index theorem.**

We shall give some conditions under which WIT_i holds with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$ or $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}}$.

Proposition 3.9. *We set $w := aw_0 + l\varrho_Y - (d\widehat{H} + \widehat{D} + (d\widehat{H} + \widehat{D}, \widetilde{\xi}_0)\varrho_Y/r_0)$. Let F be a G_2-twisted stable sheaf with $v(F) = w$. If*

$$\frac{d}{r_0 l} > \max\{4lr_0^2 + 1/(2nr_0 l), 2r_0(\langle w^2 \rangle - (D^2))\}, \tag{3.35}$$

then WIT_2 holds for F with respect to $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^2_{\mathcal{E}}(F)$ is torsion free.

Proof. By Corollary 3.8 and Remark 3.1, F is a μ-stable vector bundle. Assume that $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{E}_{|\{x_i\} \times Y}, F) \ne 0$ for $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$. We take non-zero elements $\phi_i \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{E}_{|\{x_i\} \times Y}, F)$, $1 \le i \le n$, and we consider an extension

$$0 \to F \to I \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{E}_{|\{x_i\} \times Y} \to 0 \tag{3.36}$$

whose extension class is given by $(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n) \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{E}_{|\{x_i\} \times Y}, F) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{E}_{|\{x_i\} \times Y}, F)$. Let

$$0 \subset F_1(I) \subset F_2(I) \subset \cdots \subset F_s(I) = I \tag{3.37}$$

be the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of I with respect to the G_2-twisted semi-stability (if $s = 1$, then I is G_2-twisted semi-stable). We set $I_i := F_i(I)/F_{i-1}(I)$ and $v(I_i) := a_i w_0 + l_i \varrho_Y - (d_i \widehat{H} + \widehat{D}_i + (d_i \widehat{H} + \widehat{D}_i, \widetilde{\xi}_0)\varrho_Y/r_0)$. Then $-d_1/a_1 \ge -d_2/a_2 \ge \cdots \ge -d_s/a_s$. If $-d_1/a_1 \ge 0$, then $d_1 = 0$ and the natural map $I_1 \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{E}_{|\{x_i\} \times Y}$ is injective. We first assume that $\mathcal{E}_{|\{x_i\} \times Y}$ is locally free. Then I_1 is also locally free and I_1 contains a μ-stable locally free sheaf I_1' with the same slope as that of I_1. Then $I_1' \cong \mathcal{E}_{|\{x_i\} \times Y}$ for some i, which is a contradiction. Therefore we get $d_1 > 0$, which also implies that $d_i > 0$ for all i. Since

$\sum_i d_i = d$, we have $d_i < d$ for all i. Let I_s' be a μ-stable quotient of I_s with the same slope as that of I_s. If $d_s/a_s \geq d/a$, then $d_s/a_s = d/a$ and we have an injective homomorphism $F \to I_s'$, which is a contradiction. Thus $d_s/a_s < d/a$, which implies that $d_i/a_i < d/a$ for all i. By our assumption (3.35), Lemma 3.4 (1) implies that $l_i/l \leq d_i/d$. Then $\sum_i l_i/l \leq \sum_i d_i/d$. Since $\sum_i l_i/l = \sum_i d_i/d = 1$, we get that $l_i/l = d_i/d$ for all i. Then we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_i \frac{\langle v(I_i)^2\rangle - (D_i^2)}{l_i} &= \sum_i \frac{2nd_i^2 - 2r_0 l_i a_i}{l_i}\\ &= \sum_i (2n\frac{d_i}{l_i}d_i - 2r_0 a_i)\\ &= 2n\frac{d}{l}\sum_i d_i - 2r_0\sum_i a_i\\ &= \frac{2nd^2 - 2r_0 la}{l}\\ &= \frac{\langle v(I)^2\rangle - (D^2)}{l}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

Since I_i are G_2-twisted semi-stable, Lemma 3.10 below implies that $\langle v(I_i)^2\rangle \geq -2(r_0 l_i)^2\epsilon$. On the other hand, we get $\langle v(I)^2\rangle - (D^2) = \langle w^2\rangle - (D^2) - 2nlr_0$. Hence n is bounded above, which implies that WIT_2 holds and $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^2_{\mathcal{E}}(F)$ is torsion free.

We next assume that $\mathcal{E}_{|\{x_i\}\times Y}$ is not locally free. Then $\mathcal{E}_{|\{x_i\}\times Y} = \ker(E_0 \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(E_0, \mathbb{C}_{x_i}) \to \mathbb{C}_{x_i})$ (see (1.7)). We set $t_0 := \operatorname{rk} E_0$. We shall show that

$$\operatorname{Ext}^1(E_0, F) = 0. \tag{3.39}$$

Assume that $\operatorname{Ext}^1(E_0, F) \neq 0$. We consider a non-trivial extension

$$0 \to F \to N \to E_0 \to 0. \tag{3.40}$$

Let

$$0 \subset F_1(H) \subset F_2(N) \subset \cdots \subset F_t(N) = N \tag{3.41}$$

be the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of N with respect to the G_2-twisted semi-stability. We set

$$v(F_i(N)/F_{i-1}(N)) = a_i w_0 + l_i\varrho_Y - (d_i\widehat{H} + \widehat{D}_i + (d_i\widehat{H} + \widehat{D}_i, \widetilde{\xi}_0)\varrho_Y/r_0). \tag{3.42}$$

Then $-d_1/a_1 \geq -d_2/a_2 \geq \cdots \geq -d_t/a_t$. By the same argument as above, we see that $l_i/l \leq d_i/d$. Since $\sum_i l_i = l + 1/t_0$ and $\sum_i d_i = d$, we get a contradiction. Therefore $\operatorname{Ext}^1(E_0, F) = 0$. Assume that I is not locally free. We set $J := \{i|\ I$ is not locally free at $x_i\ \}$ and $K := \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \setminus J$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to F' \to I^{\vee\vee} \to \bigoplus_{i\in J} E_0 \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(E_0, \mathbb{C}_{x_i}) \to 0 \tag{3.43}$$

where F' fits in an exact sequence

$$0 \to F \to F' \to \bigoplus_{i\in K} \mathcal{E}_{|\{x_i\}\times Y} \to 0. \tag{3.44}$$

Since $\operatorname{Ext}^1(E_0, \mathcal{E}_{|\{x_i\}\times Y}) = 0$, we get that $I^{\vee\vee} \cong F' \oplus \bigoplus_{i\in J} E_0 \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(E_0, \mathbb{C}_{x_i})$. Then we see that $I \cong F' \oplus \bigoplus_{i\in J} \mathcal{E}_{|\{x_i\}\times Y}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore I is locally free. In the same way as above, we get the relation (3.38). Hence we also get our claim. □

Lemma 3.10. *Let F be a G_2-twisted semi-stable sheaf with $v(F) = w := aw_0 + l\varrho_Y - (d\widehat{H} + \widehat{D} + (d\widehat{H} + \widehat{D}, \widetilde{\xi}_0)\varrho_Y/r_0)$. Then $\langle w^2\rangle \geq -2g^2$, where $g := \gcd(ar_0, lr_0)$.*

Proof. Let

$$0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_s = F \tag{3.45}$$

be the Jordan-Hölder filtration of F with respect to the G_2-twisted stability. We set $v(F_i/F_{i-1}) := a_i w_0 + l_i\varrho_Y - (d_i\widehat{H} + \widehat{D}_i + (d_i\widehat{H} + \widehat{D}_i, \widetilde{\xi}_0)\varrho_Y/r_0)$. Then we can write $(a_i r_0, l_i r_0) = k_i(ar_0, lr_0)/g$, where $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^s k_i/g = 1$, we get that $s \leq \sum_{i=1}^s k_i = g$, which implies that $\langle w^2\rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^s \langle v(F_i/F_{i-1}), v(F_j/F_{j-1})\rangle \geq \sum_{i,j=1}^s (-2) = -2s^2 \geq -2g^2$. □

Proposition 3.11. *We set $v := lv_0^\vee + a\varrho_X + (dH+D) - (dH+D,\xi_0)\varrho_X/r_0$, where $D \in \operatorname{NS}(X)\otimes\mathbb{Q}\cap H^\perp$. Let E be a μ-stable sheaf with $v(E)=v$. If*

$$\frac{d}{r_0 l} > \max\{4lr_0^2 + 1/(2nr_0 l), 2r_0(\langle v^2\rangle - (D^2))\}, \tag{3.46}$$

then IT_0 holds for E with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$.

Proof. Assume that $H^1(X, \mathcal{E}_{|X\times\{y\}}\otimes E) = \operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathcal{E}_{|X\times\{y\}}\otimes E, \mathcal{O}_X)^\vee \neq 0$.

(I) We first treat the case where $\mathcal{E}$ is locally free. We consider a non-trivial extension

$$0 \to \mathcal{E}_{|X\times\{y\}}^\vee \to I \to E \to 0. \tag{3.47}$$

Let

$$0 \subset F_1(I) \subset F_2(I) \subset \cdots \subset F_s(I) = I \tag{3.48}$$

be the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of I with respect to the μ-semi-stability (if $s=1$, then I is μ-semi-stable). We set $I_i := F_i(I)/F_{i-1}(I)$ and $v(I_i) := l_i v_0^\vee + a_i\varrho_X - (d_iH + D_i + (d_iH + D_i, \xi_0)\varrho_X/r_0)$. Then $d_1/l_1 > d_2/l_2 > \cdots > d_s/l_s$. In the same way as in the proof of Proposition 3.9, we see that $d_i > 0$ and $d/l > d_1/l_1$. Assume that $s > 1$. Then $d_i < d$ for all i. By our assumptions, Lemma 3.4 implies that $a_i < ad_i/d$. Then we see that $a = \sum_i a_i < \sum_i ad_i/d = a$, which is a contradiction. Thus $s=1$. If I is properly μ-semi-stable, then we can apply Lemma 3.4 again, and we get a contradiction. If I is μ-stable, then by Lemma 3.5 (2), we get a contradiction. Therefore we conclude that $H^1(X, \mathcal{E}_{|X\times\{y\}}\otimes E) = 0$ for all $y \in Y$.

(II) We next assume that $\mathcal{E}$ is not locally free. We take a locally free resolution

$$0 \to V_1 \to V_0 \to \mathcal{E}_s \to 0 \tag{3.49}$$

of $\mathcal{E}_s$ such that $\operatorname{Ext}^i(V_0, E_0^\vee) = 0$, $i > 0$. Then $\operatorname{Ext}^1(V_1, E_0^\vee) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^2(\mathcal{E}_s, E_0^\vee) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(E_0^\vee, \mathcal{E}_s)^\vee = 0$. We may assume that $H^0(X, V_0\otimes E_0) = 0$. We note that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathcal{E}_s\otimes E, \mathcal{O}_X) &= \operatorname{Ext}^1((V_1\to V_0)\otimes E, \mathcal{O}_X) \\ &= \operatorname{Ext}^1(E, V_0^\vee \to V_1^\vee) \end{aligned} \tag{3.50}$$

and $\operatorname{Ext}^1(E, V_0^\vee \to V_1^\vee)$ parametrizes diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd} & V_1^\vee & & & \\ 0 \arrow[r] & V_0^\vee \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & I \arrow[lu] \arrow[r] & E \arrow[r] & 0. \end{tikzcd} \tag{3.51}$$

Moreover $\phi : I = V_0^\vee \oplus E \to V_1^\vee$ with $\phi(E) = 0$ defines the $0 \in \operatorname{Ext}^1(E, V_0^\vee \to V_1^\vee)$. For a diagram (3.51), we get the following exact and commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd} & 0 & & & \\ 0 \arrow[r] & \operatorname{im} V_0^\vee \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & V_1^\vee \arrow[r] & \mathbb{C}_s \arrow[r] & 0 \\ 0 \arrow[r] & V_0^\vee \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & I \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & E \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & 0 \\ 0 \arrow[r] & E_0^{\oplus t_0} \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & I' \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & E' \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & 0 \\ & 0 \arrow[u] & 0 \arrow[u] & 0 \arrow[u] & \end{tikzcd} \tag{3.52}$$

where $I' := \ker(I \to V_1^\vee)$ and $E' := \ker(E \to \mathbb{C}_s)$. If $E \to \mathbb{C}_s$ is a zero-map, then I' gives an extension of E by $E_0^{\oplus t_0}$. By the same argument as case (I), we see that $\operatorname{Ext}^1(E, E_0) = 0$. Hence we get a splitting $E \to I'$, which implies that (3.51) is the trivial class. If $E \to \mathbb{C}_s$ is non-trivial, then $I \to V_1^\vee$ is surjective.

Claim 3.1. $\operatorname{Hom}(I', E_0) = 0$.

Proof of Claim 3.1: We note that $\operatorname{Ext}^1(V_1^\vee, E_0) = \operatorname{Ext}^1(E_0^\vee, V_1) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^1(V_1, E_0^\vee)^\vee = 0$. Hence $\operatorname{Hom}(I, E_0) \to \operatorname{Hom}(I', E_0)$ is surjective. On the other hand, by the stability condition on E, we see that $\operatorname{Hom}(I, E_0) \to \operatorname{Hom}(V_0^\vee, E_0)$ is injective. Since $\operatorname{Hom}(V_0^\vee, E_0) = H^0(X, V_0\otimes E_0) = 0$, we conclude that $\operatorname{Hom}(I', E_0) = 0$.

Since $v(I') = v(E) - \varrho_X + t_0 v(E_0) = v(E) + v(\mathcal{E}_s)^\vee$, applying the same arguments as case (I) to I', we see that $\operatorname{Ext}^1(E, V_0^\vee \to V_1^\vee) = 0$. □

Corollary 3.12. *Keep notation as above. Let E be a G_1-twisted stable sheaf with $v(E) = v$.*

(1) *If*

$$\frac{d}{r_0 l} > \max\{4lr_0^2 + 1, 2r_0(\langle v^2\rangle - (D^2) + (r_0 l)^2/2)\}, \tag{3.53}$$

then IT_0 *holds for E with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$.*

(2) *If $\langle v^2\rangle > 0$ and H is general with respect to v, then for a stable sheaf E with*

$$\frac{d}{r_0 l} > \max\{4lr_0^2 + 1, 2r_0(\langle v^2\rangle - (D^2))\}, \tag{3.54}$$

IT_0 *holds with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$.*

Proof. Let

$$0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_s = E \tag{3.55}$$

be the Jordan-Hölder filtration of E with respect to the μ-stability. We set $E_i := F_i/F_{i-1}$ and $v(E_i) := l_i v_0^\vee + a_i \varrho_X + (d_i H + D_i) - (d_i H + D_i, \xi_0)\varrho_X/r_0$, where $D_i \in \mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cap H^\perp$. We first prove (1). Since $\langle v(E_j)^2\rangle \geq -2 \geq -2(\operatorname{rk} E_j)^2$, by using the equality $(\langle v^2\rangle - (D^2))/\operatorname{rk} v = \sum_j (\langle v(E_j)^2\rangle - (D_j^2))/\operatorname{rk} E_j$, we see that $\langle v(E_i)^2\rangle - (D_i^2) \leq \langle v^2\rangle - (D^2) + 2\operatorname{rk} E_i(\operatorname{rk} E - \operatorname{rk} E_i)$. Since $\operatorname{rk} E_i(\operatorname{rk} E - \operatorname{rk} E_i) \leq (\operatorname{rk} E)^2/2 = (r_0 l)^2/2$, we get our claim.

We next prove (2). Since H is general with respect to v, $c_1(E)/\operatorname{rk}(E) = c_1(E_i)/\operatorname{rk} E_i$ for all i. We shall show that $\langle v(E_i)^2\rangle \leq \langle v^2\rangle$. Then our claim follows from Proposition 3.11.

If there is not a μ-stable sheaf G such that $\langle v(G)^2\rangle = -2$ and $c_1(E)/\operatorname{rk} E = c_1(G)/\operatorname{rk} G$, then $\langle v(E_i)^2\rangle \geq 0$ for all i. Hence $\langle v(E_i)^2\rangle \leq \langle v^2\rangle$. We assume that there is a μ-stable sheaf G such that $\langle v(G)^2\rangle = -2$ and $c_1(E)/\operatorname{rk} E = c_1(G)/\operatorname{rk} G$. It is sufficient to prove the following claim.

Claim 3.2. Let E be a μ-semi-stable sheaf such that $c_1(E)/\operatorname{rk} E = c_1(G)/\operatorname{rk} G$ and $\operatorname{Hom}(G, E) = 0$. Let $0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_s = E$ be the Jordan-Hölder filtration of E with respect to the μ-stability and set $E_i := F_i/F_{i-1}$. Then $\langle v(E_i)^2\rangle \leq \langle v(E)^2\rangle$.

Proof of Claim 3.2: We note that $(\operatorname{rk} G, c_1(G))$ is primitive. Hence we can set $v(E) = nv(G) - a\varrho_X$ where n and a are positive integers. We shall prove our claim by induction on n. Since E_i are μ-stable, we can write $v(E_i) = n_i v(G) - a_i \varrho_X$, $a_i \geq 0$. Hence $0 \leq a_i \leq a$ for all i. Then $\langle v(E_i)^2\rangle \leq 2n_i(a\operatorname{rk} G - n_i)$. Since $x(a\operatorname{rk} G - x)$ is increasing for $0 \leq x \leq a\operatorname{rk} G/2$, we see that $\langle v(E_i)^2\rangle \leq \langle v(E)^2\rangle$, if $n_i = 1$. If $\langle v(E), v(G)\rangle = a\operatorname{rk} G - 2n \geq 0$, we also see that $\langle v(E_i)^2\rangle \leq \langle v(E)^2\rangle$ for all i. We assume that $\langle v(E), v(G)\rangle = a\operatorname{rk} G - 2n < 0$. Then $k := \dim \operatorname{Hom}(E, G) \geq -\langle v(E), v(G)\rangle > 0$. We note that $\phi : E \to G \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(E, G)^\vee$ is generically surjective. Hence we can set that $v(\ker \phi) := v(E) - kv(G) + b\varrho_X$, $0 \leq b \leq a$. Since $(\operatorname{im} \phi)^{\vee\vee} = G^{\oplus k}$ and our claim holds for E_i with $n_i = 1$, it is sufficient to show our claim for E_i in $\ker \phi$. Since $\langle v(\ker \phi)^2\rangle = \langle v(E)^2\rangle - 2k(\langle v(E), v(G)\rangle + k) - b(n-k)\operatorname{rk} G \leq \langle v(E)^2\rangle$ and $\operatorname{Hom}(G, \ker \phi) = 0$, by using the induction hypothesis, we get our claim. □

Remark 3.3. If $\mathrm{NS}(X) = \mathbb{Z}H$, then the same assertion holds for an isotropic Mukai vector: In this case, we may assume that there is a μ-stable vector bundle G with $\langle v(G)^2\rangle = -2$ such that $E = \ker(\operatorname{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}_x) \otimes G \to \mathbb{C}_x)$. Then E fits in an exact sequence

$$0 \to G' \to E \to G^{\oplus(\operatorname{rk} G - 1)} \to 0 \tag{3.56}$$

where G' is the kernel of a surjective homomorphism $\psi : G \to \mathbb{C}_x$. Then we get $\langle v(G')^2\rangle = 2(\operatorname{rk} G - 1)$. Hence $(4r_0 \operatorname{rk} E + 1) - 2r_0\langle v(G')^2\rangle = 4r_0(\operatorname{rk} G^2 - \operatorname{rk} G) + 4r_0 + 1 > 0$. Applying Proposition 3.11 to G', we see that IT_0 holds for G', and hence for E.

Proposition 3.13. *We set $v := a\varrho_X + (dH + D) - (dH + D, \xi_0)\varrho_X/r_0 \in H^{ev}(X, \mathbb{Z})$, where $a > 0$ and $D \in \mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cap H^\perp$. Let E be a G_1-twisted stable sheaf with $v(E) = v$. If*

$$a > \max\{2r_0 + 1, (\langle v^2\rangle - (D^2))/2 + 1\}, \tag{3.57}$$

then IT_0 *holds for E with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$.*

Proof. Assume that $H^1(X, \mathcal{E}_{|X \times \{y\}} \otimes E) = \operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathcal{E}_{|X \times \{y\}} \otimes E, \mathcal{O}_X)^\vee \neq 0$. We only treat the case where $\mathcal{E}$ is locally free. The other case is similar to the proof of Proposition 3.11. We consider a non-trivial extension

$$0 \to \mathcal{E}_{|X \times \{y\}}^\vee \to I \to E \to 0. \tag{3.58}$$

Assume that I is not μ-semi-stable. Let I_0 be the torsion submodule of I and

$$0 \subset F_1(I/I_0) \subset F_2(I/I_0) \subset \cdots \subset F_s(I/I_0) = I/I_0 \tag{3.59}$$

the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of I/I_0. We set $I_i := F_i(I/I_0)/F_{i-1}(I/I_0)$ and $v(I_i) := l_i v_0^\vee + a_i \varrho_X + (d_i H + D_i - (d_i H + D_i, \xi_0)\varrho_X/r_0)$, $1 \leq i \leq s$. Then we see that $d_1/l_1 > d_2/l_2 > \cdots > d_s/l_s > 0$. We also set $v(I_0) := a_0\varrho_X + (d_0H + D_0 - (d_0H + D_0, \xi_0)\varrho_X/r_0)$. We note that the natural homomorphism $I_0 \to I \to E$ is injective. Since E is G_1-twisted stable, $a_0/d_0 < a/d$ or $I_0 = 0$. If $d_0 = d$, then we see that the exact sequence splits. Hence we get $d_0 < d$. Since $l_i > 0$, we get that $a_i < d_i a/d$ for $i > 0$. Then we see that $a = \sum_{i=0}^{s} a_i < \sum_{i=0}^{s} ad_i/d = a$, which is a contradiction. Thus I is μ-semi-stable. If I is properly μ-semi-stable, we also get a contradiction. Therefore I is μ-stable. By Lemma 3.5, we get a contradiction. Therefore $H^1(X, \mathcal{E}_{|X\times\{y\}} \otimes E) = 0$ for all $y \in Y$. □

3.3. **Asymptotic stability theorem.**

Proposition 3.14. *Assume that conditions (#1, 2) holds. Let E be a G_1-twisted stable sheaf with $v(E) := v = a\varrho_X + (dH+D) - (dH+D, \xi_0)\varrho_X/r_0$. If $a > \max\{2r_0+1, (\langle v^2\rangle - (D^2))/2+1\}$, then $\mathcal{F}^0_{\mathcal{E}}(E)$ is G_2-twisted stable. In particular $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$ induces an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{M}_H^{G_1}(v)^s \to \mathcal{M}_{\widehat{H}}^{G_2}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}(v))^s, \tag{3.60}$$

if $\mathcal{M}_H^{G_1}(v)^s \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.13, IT_0 holds for E. We assume that $\mathcal{F}^0_{\mathcal{E}}(E)$ is not G_2-twisted semi-stable. Then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to F_1 \to \mathcal{F}^0_{\mathcal{E}}(E) \to F_2 \to 0 \tag{3.61}$$

such that $F_1 (\neq 0)$ is a G_2-twisted stable sheaf with

$$v(F_1) = a_1 w_0 + l_1\varrho_Y - ((d_1\widehat{H} + \widehat{D}_1) + (d_1\widehat{H} + \widehat{D}_1, \widetilde{\xi}_0)\varrho_Y/r_0), d_1/a_1 \leq d/a \tag{3.62}$$

and $F_2 (\neq 0)$ is a torsion free sheaf with $\mu_{\max, G_2}(F_2) < 0$. Applying Lemma 3.6, we see that $l_1 \leq 0$. Since F_1 satisfies WIT_2, we conclude that $l_1 = 0$. Since $\mathcal{F}^0_{\mathcal{E}}(E)$ is not G_2-twisted semi-stable, we may assume that $d_1/a_1 < d/a$. Since $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^2_{\mathcal{E}}(F_1)$ is a torsion sheaf, $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^1_{\mathcal{E}}(F_2)$ is also a torsion sheaf. By Lemma 3.3, $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^1_{\mathcal{E}}(F_2) = 0$. Then $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^2_{\mathcal{E}}(F_1)$ is a destabilizing subsheaf of E, which is a contradiction. Thus $\mathcal{F}^0_{\mathcal{E}}(E)$ is G_2-twisted semi-stable. By the same proof, we also see that (1) $\mathcal{F}^0_{\mathcal{E}}(E)$ is G_2-twisted stable, provided that E is G_1-twisted stable, and (2) $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$ preserves S-equivalence classes. Hence we have a morphism $f : \overline{M}_H^{G_1}(v) \to \overline{M}_{\widehat{H}}^{G_2}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}(v))$. Let $\overline{M_H^{G_1}(v)}$ (resp. $\overline{M_{\widehat{H}}^{G_2}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}(v))}$) be the closure of $M_H^{G_1}(v)$ in $\overline{M}_H^{G_1}(v)$ (resp. $M_{\widehat{H}}^{G_2}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}(v))$ in $\overline{M}_{\widehat{H}}^{G_2}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}(v))$). Then f induces a morphism $f' : \overline{M_H^{G_1}(v)} \to \overline{M_{\widehat{H}}^{G_2}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}(v))}$. By Corollary 3.8, $M_{\widehat{H}}^{G_2}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}(v))$ consists of μ-stable sheaves. Let H' be a general ample divisor on Y such that $\mathbb{Q}_+H'$ is very close to $\mathbb{Q}_+\widehat{H}$. Then $M_{\widehat{H}}^{G_2}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}(v))$ is contained in $M_{H'}^{G_2}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}(v)) = M_{H'}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}(v))$. By the irreducibility of $M_{H'}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}(v))$ [Y4], $M_{\widehat{H}}^{G_2}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}(v))$ is also irreducible. Hence f' is surjective. Therefore $M_H^{G_1}(v) \to M_{\widehat{H}}^{G_2}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}(v))$ is also surjective. Since this morphism is an immersion, it is an isomorphism. □

Definition 3.1. Let v be a Mukai vector with $\operatorname{rk} v = 0$. A polarization H is general with respect to v and $G \in K(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, if for a G-twisted semi-stable sheaf E with $v(E) = v$ and a non-trivial subsheaf F of E,

$$\frac{\chi_G(F)}{(c_1(F), H)} = \frac{\chi_G(E)}{(c_1(E), H)} \text{ if and only if } v(F) \in \mathbb{Q}v. \tag{3.63}$$

If $\langle v(G), v\rangle \neq 0$, then there is a general polarization: For an effective divisor class $\xi \in \mathrm{NS}(X)$, we set

$$D_\xi := \{\xi_1 \in \mathrm{NS}(X) | \ \xi_1 \text{ and } \xi - \xi_1 \text{ are represented as effective divisors and } (\xi_1^2) \geq -2\epsilon\}. \tag{3.64}$$

Then D_ξ is a finite set. We set $\xi = c_1(v)$.

(∗1) Assume that $(\langle v(G), v\rangle\xi_1 - b\xi, H) \neq 0$ for all $\xi_1 \in D_\xi$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq |b| < |\langle v(G), v\rangle|$ and $\langle v(G), v\rangle\xi_1 - b\xi \neq 0$.

Then H is a general polarization with respect to v and G.

Assume that H satisfies this condition for v and G_1. Then H also satisfies this condition for $v\exp(mH)$ and G_1. We assume that $a := -\langle v_0^\vee, v\rangle/r_0 \gg d = \deg_{G_1}(v)/(r_0(H^2))$.

Claim 3.3. $\widehat{H}$ is a general polarization with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}(v) = aw_0 - (\widehat{\xi} + \frac{(\widehat{\xi}, \widetilde{\xi}_0)}{r_0}\varrho_Y)$ (cf. Definition 1.2).

Proof of Claim 3.3: Assume that there is a filtration

$$0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_s = F \tag{3.65}$$

such that F_i/F_{i-1}, $1 \leq i \leq s$ is a G_2-twisted stable sheaf with $v(F_i/F_{i-1}) = a_i w_0 - (\widehat{\xi}_i + \frac{(\widehat{\xi}_i, \widetilde{\xi}_0)}{r_0}\varrho_Y)$. Then $\langle v(F_i/F_{i-1})^2\rangle \geq -2\epsilon$ for all i. Hence $(\xi_i^2) \geq -2\epsilon$. Since $(\xi_i, H)/a_i = (\xi, H)/a > 0$, ξ_i is represented by an effective divisor. In particular ξ_1 and $\xi - \xi_1$ are effective. Thus ξ_1 belongs to D_ξ. Hence we get our claim. □

Under the assumption (∗1) on H and a, we see that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$ induces an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{M}_H^{G_1}(v)^{ss} \to \mathcal{M}_{\widehat{H}}^{G_2}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}(v))^{ss}, \tag{3.66}$$

if $\mathcal{M}_H^{G_1}(v)^{ss} \neq \emptyset$. For the non-emptyness of $\mathcal{M}_H^{G_1}(v)^{ss}$, see Remark 3.4.

The following corollary is a supplement to [Y3, Thm. 8.1] and [Y4].

Corollary 3.15. *Let X be a K3 surface or an abelian surface. Assume that $\operatorname{rk} v = 0$ and $\overline{M}_H(v) \neq \emptyset$. Then $\overline{M}_H(v)$ is a normal variety, if H is general with respect to v. Moreover if X is a K3 surface and v is primitive, then $\overline{M}_H(v)$ is an irreducible symplectic manifold which is deformation equivalent to $\operatorname{Hilb}_X^{\langle v^2 \rangle/2+1}$.*

Proof. If X is an abelian surface, we assume that $\mathcal{E}$ is the Poincaré line bundle on $X \times \widehat{X}$ and if X is a K3 surface, we assume that $\mathcal{E} = I_\Delta$, where $\Delta \subset X \times X$ is the diagonal. We set $v = \xi + a\varrho_X$. We assume that $\overline{M}_H(v) \neq \emptyset$. Since H is general, $\overline{M}_H(v)$ is normal and $M_H(v)$ is an open dense subscheme of $\overline{M}_H(v)$. Hence we shall show that $M_H(v)$ is irreducible. Replacing v by $v \operatorname{ch}(H^{\otimes m})$, we may assume that $a \gg d = (\xi, H)$. By Proposition 3.14, we have an isomorphism $M_H(\xi + a\varrho_X) \to M_{\widehat{H}}(a - \widehat{\xi})$. Since $M_{\widehat{H}}(a - \widehat{\xi})$ consists of μ-stable vector bundles, $M_{\widehat{H}}(a - \widehat{\xi})$ is contained in $M_{H'}(a - \widehat{\xi})$, where H' is a general ample divisor on Y such that $\mathbb{Q}_+ H'$ is very close to $\mathbb{Q}_+ \widehat{H}$. By [Y4], it is irreducible. Hence we get our claim. □

Remark 3.4. We note that a torsion free sheaf on an irreducible and reduced curve is stable. Hence if there is an irreducible and reduced curve C with $C = c_1(v) \in \operatorname{NS}(X)$, then $M_H(v)$ is not empty. We first assume that X is an abelian surface. Hence if $c_1(v)$ is not primitive, then $M_H(v)$ is not empty. If $c_1(v)$ is primitive, then the non-emptyness comes from [Y3]. We next assume that X is a K3 surface. If $c_1(v)$ is nef, then there is an irreducible and reduced curve C with $C = c_1(v) \in \operatorname{NS}(X)$, unless $c_1(v) = \sigma + nf$, where σ is a section of an elliptic surface $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ and f a fiber of π ([SD]). On an elliptic surface $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$, it is easy to construct a stable sheaf on a curve C with $C = \sigma + nf \in \operatorname{NS}(X)$. Therefore $M_H(v) \neq \emptyset$, provided that $c_1(v)$ is nef.

Theorem 3.16. *Assume that conditions (#1, 2) holds. Let E be a G_1-twisted semi-stable sheaf with $v(E) = v := lv_0^\vee + a\varrho_X + (dH + D) - (dH + D, \xi_0)\varrho_X/r_0$, where $D \in \operatorname{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cap H^\perp$. If $lr_0 = 1, 2$ and*

$$\frac{d}{r_0 l} > \max\{4lr_0^2 + 1, 2r_0(\langle v^2 \rangle - (D^2) + (r_0 l)^2/2)\}, \tag{3.67}$$

then $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{E}^0(E)$ is G_2-twisted semi-stable. In particular, $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{E}$ induces an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{M}_H^{G_1}(v)^s \to \mathcal{M}_{\widehat{H}}^{G_2}(\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{E}(v))^s, \tag{3.68}$$

if $\mathcal{M}_H^{G_1}(v)^s \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. By Corollary 3.12, E satisfies IT_0. Assume that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to F_1 \to \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{E}^0(E) \to F_2 \to 0 \tag{3.69}$$

such that $F_1 (\neq 0)$ is a G_2-twisted stable sheaf with

$$v(F_1) = a_1 w_0 + l_1 \varrho_Y - (d_1 \widehat{H} + \widehat{D}_1 + (d_1 \widehat{H} + \widehat{D}_1, \widetilde{\xi}_0)\varrho_Y/r_0), 0 < d_1/a_1 \leq d/a \tag{3.70}$$

and $F_2 (\neq 0)$ is a torsion free sheaf with $\mu_{\max, G_2}(F_2) < 0$. Since $0 < a_1 < a$, we get $d_1 \leq da_1/a < d$. Applying Lemma 3.4 to the sheaf F_1, we get that $l_1 \leq ld_1/d$. In the exact sequence (3.7), Lemma 3.1 implies that $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\mathcal{E}^0(F_2) = 0$. Hence WIT_2 holds for F_1, which implies that $l_1 \geq 0$. By Lemma 3.3, $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\mathcal{E}^1(F_2)$ is torsion free. Since E is also torsion free, $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\mathcal{E}^2(F_1)$ is a torsion free sheaf of rank $l_1 r_0 < lr_0 \leq 2$. If $\operatorname{rk} v = 1$, then $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\mathcal{E}^2(F_1) = 0$, which is a contradiction. If $\operatorname{rk} v = 2$, then $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\mathcal{E}^2(F_1)$ is a torsion free sheaf of rank 1. By the G_1-twisted semi-stability of E and $(l_1/l)d - d_1 \leq 0$, we see that (i) $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\mathcal{E}^2(F_1) \to E$ is a 0-map or (ii) $d_1/l_1 = d/l$, $a_1/l_1 \leq a/l$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\mathcal{E}^2(F_1) \to E$ is injective. If the case (i) occurs, then $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\mathcal{E}^1(F_2) \cong \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\mathcal{E}^2(F_1)$. Hence $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_\mathcal{E}^1(F_2)$ satisfies WIT_0. In the same way as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we get a contradiction. If the case (ii) occurs, then by the inequality $d_1/a_1 \leq d/a$, we see that $a_1/l_1 \geq a/l$. Therefore $a_1/l_1 = a/l$, which implies that $d_1/a_1 = d/a$.

If $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{E}^0(E)$ is not G_2-twisted semi-stable, then by Lemma 3.1, there is an exact sequence (3.69) with $0 < d_1/a_1 < d/a$, which is a contradiction. Moreover if $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{E}^0(E)$ is not G_2-twisted stable, then we also see that E is not G_1-twisted stable. The last claim follows from the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.14. □

Remark 3.5. If H satisfies the inequality (3.30) and $\langle v^2 \rangle > 0$, then $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{E}$ induces an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{M}_H^{G_1}(v)^{ss} \to \mathcal{M}_{\widehat{H}}^{G_2}(\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{E}(v))^{ss}, \tag{3.71}$$

if $\operatorname{rk} v = 1, 2$ and

$$\frac{d}{r_0 l} > \max\{4lr_0^2 + 1, 2r_0(\langle v^2 \rangle - (D^2))\}. \tag{3.72}$$

3.3.1. *The case where* $\mathrm{NS}(X)=\mathbb{Z}$. In the above theorem, the choice of d depends on $\langle v^2\rangle$ and (D^2). Hence if $\mathrm{NS}(X)=\mathbb{Z}H$, then the choice depends only on $\langle v^2\rangle$. Under this assumption, we can show the asymptotic stability generally.

Proposition 3.17. *Assume that* $\mathrm{NS}(Y)=\mathbb{Z}\widehat{H}$. *We set* $w:=aw_0+l\varrho_Y-(d\widehat{H}+(d\widehat{H},\widetilde{\xi}_0)\varrho_Y/r_0)$. *Let* F *be a stable sheaf with* $v(F)=w$. *If*

$$\frac{d}{r_0 l}>\max\{4lr_0^2+1,2r_0\langle w^2\rangle\},\tag{3.73}$$

then $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{E}}^2(F)$ *is stable.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.9, WIT_2 holds for F and $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{E}}^2(F)$ is torsion free. Assume that $E:=\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{E}}^2(F)$ is not semi-stable. Let

$$0\subset F_1(E)\subset F_2(E)\subset\cdots\subset F_s(E)=E\tag{3.74}$$

be the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of E with respect to semi-stability. We set $E_i:=F_i(E)/F_{i-1}(E)$ and $v(E_i):=l_iv_0^\vee+a_i\varrho_X+(d_iH-(d_iH,\xi_0)\varrho_X/r_0)$. By Lemma 3.1, $d_1/l_1\geq d_2/l_2\geq\cdots\geq d_s/l_s>0$. Assume that $d_j/l_j\geq d/l$ for $1\leq j\leq t$ and $d_j/l_j<d/l$ for $j>t$.

Claim 3.4. $t=s$, that is, E is μ-semi-stable.

Proof of Claim 3.4: Since $\mathrm{Hom}(E_i,E_j)=0$ for $i<j$, [Mu3, Cor. 2.8] implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^s\dim\mathrm{Ext}^1(E_i,E_i)\leq\dim\mathrm{Ext}^1(E,E)=\langle w^2\rangle+2.\tag{3.75}$$

Then $\langle v(E_i)^2\rangle\leq\dim\mathrm{Ext}^1(E_i,E_i)-2\leq\langle w^2\rangle$ for all i. For E_i with $i\leq t$, we take the Jordan-Hölder filtration of E_i:

$$0\subset F_1^J(E_i)\subset F_2^J(E_i)\subset\cdots\subset F_{s_i}^J(E_i)=E_i.\tag{3.76}$$

Since H is general with respect to all Mukai vectors, $\langle v(F_j^J(E_i)/F_{j-1}^J(E_i))^2\rangle=(r_j/\operatorname{rk}E_i)^2\langle v(E_i)^2\rangle$, where $r_j:=\operatorname{rk}F_j^J(E_i)/F_{j-1}^J(E_i)$. Hence $\langle v(F_j^J(E_i)/F_{j-1}^J(E_i))^2\rangle\leq\langle v(E_i)^2\rangle$, or $E_i=G_i^{\oplus n_i}$, where G_i is a stable vector bundle with $\langle v(G_i)^2\rangle=-2$. Applying Corollary 3.12 (or Remark 3.3) to each $F_j^J(E_i)/F_{j-1}^J(E_i)$, we see that IT_0 holds for E_i, $i\leq t$. Therefore $F_t(E)$ also satisfies IT_0. Since E satsifies IT_0, $E/F_t(E)$ also satisfies IT_0 and we get an exact sequence

$$0\to\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}^0(F_t(E))\to F\to\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}^0(E/F_t(E))\to 0.\tag{3.77}$$

We set $v(E/F_t(E))=l'v_0^\vee+a'\varrho_X+(d'H-(d'H,\xi_0)\varrho_X/r_0)$. Then $v(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}^0(E/F_t(E)))=a'w_0+l'\varrho_Y-(d'\widehat{H}+(d'\widehat{H},\widetilde{\xi}_0)\varrho_Y/r_0)$. Hence $a'\geq 0$ and if $a'=0$, then $d'\leq 0$. On the other hand, by our assumption (3.73) and Lemma 3.4 (2), we get that $a_i<ad_i/d$ for $i>t$, which implies that $a'<ad'/d$. Then we see that $a'>0$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}^0(E/F_t(E))$ gives a destabilizing quotient sheaf of F. Therefore $t=s$.

Then $d_1/l_1=d/l$ and $a_1/l_1>a/l$. Since E_1 satisfies IT_0, the inequality $-d_1/a_1>-d/a$ implies that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}^0(E_1)$ is a destabilizing subsheaf of F. Therefore E is semi-stable. If E is not stable, then E contains a subsheaf E_1 with

$$v(E_1)=l_1v_0^\vee+a_1\varrho_X+(d_1H-(d_1H,\xi_0)\varrho_X/r_0),\ d_1/l_1=d/l\text{ and }a_1/l_1\geq a/l.\tag{3.78}$$

Then IT_0 holds for E_1, E/E_1 and we have an exact sequence

$$0\to\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}^0(E_1)\to F\to\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}^0(E_2)\to 0.\tag{3.79}$$

Since $d_1/l_1\leq d/l$, we get a contradiction. Thus E is stable. □

Theorem 3.18. *Assume that* $\mathrm{NS}(X)=\mathbb{Z}H$. *We set* $v:=lv_0^\vee+a\varrho_X+dH-(dH,\xi_0)\varrho_X/r_0$. *Let* E *be a stable sheaf with* $v(E)=v$. *If*

$$\frac{d}{r_0 l}>\max\{4lr_0^2+1,2r_0\langle v^2\rangle\},\tag{3.80}$$

then $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}^0(E)$ *is stable. In particular,* $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}$ *induces an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{M}_H(v)^{ss}\to\mathcal{M}_{\widehat{H}}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}(v))^{ss}.\tag{3.81}$$

Proof. Let $F_1\subset\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}^0(E)$ be the first filter of the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}^0(E)$. We set $v(F_1)=a_1w_0+l_1\varrho_Y-(d_1\widehat{H}+(d_1\widehat{H},\widetilde{\xi}_0)\varrho_Y/r_0)$. Then $0<d_1/a_1<d/a$, or $d_1/a_1=d/a$ and $l_1/a_1>l/a$. Since $\mathrm{Hom}(F_1,\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}^0(E)/F_1)=0$, [Mu3, Cor. 2.8] implies that $\dim\mathrm{Ext}^1(F_1,F_1)\leq\dim\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}^0(E),\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}}^0(E))$. Then $\langle v(F_1)^2\rangle\leq\dim\mathrm{Ext}^1(F_1,F_1)-2\leq\langle v^2\rangle$. Let F_1' be a stable subsheaf of F_1 such that $v(F_1')=a_1'w_0+l_1'\varrho_Y-(d_1'\widehat{H}+(d_1'\widehat{H},\widetilde{\xi}_0)\varrho_Y/r_0)$ with $d_1'/a_1'=d_1/a_1$ and $l_1'/a_1'=l_1/a_1$. Then $\langle v(F_1')^2\rangle=(l_1'/l_1)^2\langle v(F_1)^2\rangle$. Since

$d_1/l_1 \geq d/l$, F_1' satisfies the condition (3.73) in Proposition 3.17, and hence $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^2_{\mathcal{E}}(F_1')$ is a stable sheaf. Then by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.16, we see that the claim holds. □

3.4. **A special case.** Let (X, H) be a polarized abelian surface with $\operatorname{NS}(X) = \mathbb{Z}H$. We set $(H^2) = 2n$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the Poincaré line bundle on $X \times \widehat{X}$. In this special case, we shall give more precise results. We first treat positive rank cases.

3.4.1. *Positive rank cases.*

Proposition 3.19. *For positive integers r, d, a, We set $v := r + dH + a\varrho_X$ and $k := \gcd(r, d) > 0$. We take a pair of integers (r', d') such that $rd' - r'd = -k$ and $0 \leq r' < r$. If $dn > \max\{\frac{1}{2k}(r' + \frac{(k-1)}{k}r)\langle v^2\rangle, \frac{1}{2}\langle v^2\rangle\}$, then the following assertions hold:*

1. *For any stable sheaf F_1 with $v(F_1) = a_1 \pm d_1\widehat{H} + r_1\varrho_{\widehat{X}}$, $0 < d_1 < d$ and $d_1/a_1 \leq d/a$, we have $r_1 \leq rd_1/d$.*
2. *For any stable sheaf E_1 with $v(E_1) = r_1 + d_1H + a_1\varrho_X$, $0 < d_1 < d$ and $d_1/r_1 < d/r$, we have $a_1 < ad_1/d$.*

Proof. We shall prove the claim (1). We set $s := \langle v^2\rangle/2$. Let F_1 be a stable sheaf with $v(F_1) = a_1 - d_1\widehat{H} + r_1\varrho_{\widehat{X}}$, $0 < d_1 < d$ and $d_1/a_1 \leq d/a$. If $r_1 \leq 0$, then obviously our claim holds. If $r_1 > 0$, then we see that

$$0 \leq \langle v(F_1)^2\rangle \leq \frac{2d_1}{rd}(nd(rd_1 - r_1d) + r_1s). \tag{3.82}$$

If $r_1 \geq r$, then we get a contradiction by the inequality $dn > s$. Assume that $r_1 < r$. If $rd_1 - r_1d < 0$, then there is a positive integer m such that $rd_1 - r_1d = -km$. Then $r_1 - r'm$ is divisible by r/k and $r_1 - r'm < r$. Hence we get $r_1 - r'm \leq r - r/k$, which implies that $nd(rd_1 - r_1d) + r_1s = -mknd + r_1s \leq -mknd + rs - rs/k + r'ms < 0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $rd_1 - r_1d \geq 0$. □

Then we get the following.

Theorem 3.20. *$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}$ induces an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{M}_H(r + dH + a\varrho_X)^{ss} \to \mathcal{M}_{\widehat{H}}(a + d\widehat{H} + r\varrho_{\widehat{X}})^{ss} \tag{3.83}$$

if $dn > rs$, where $s := (d^2n - ra)$.

Proof. We note that $rs \geq \frac{1}{k}(r' + \frac{(k-1)}{k}r)s$. Under our conditions, by a modification of the proof of Proposition 3.11, we see that WIT_2 holds with respect to $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}$. Assume that $\mathcal{G}^2_{\mathcal{P}}(E)$ is not semi-stable. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to G_1 \to \mathcal{G}^2_{\mathcal{P}}(E) \to G_2 \to 0, \tag{3.84}$$

where G_1 is a torsion free sheaf with $\mu_{\min,\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}}(G_1) > 0$ and G_2 is a stable sheaf with $v(G_2) = a_2 + d_2\widehat{H} + r_2\varrho_{\widehat{X}}$ such that (i) $0 < d_2/a_2 < d/a$, or (ii) $d_2/a_2 = d/a$ and $r_2/a_2 < r/a$. Then we see that WIT_2 holds for G_2 with respect to $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathcal{P}}$ and we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \widehat{\mathcal{G}}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(G_1) \to \widehat{\mathcal{G}}^2_{\mathcal{P}}(G_2) \to E \to \widehat{\mathcal{G}}^2_{\mathcal{P}}(G_1) \to 0. \tag{3.85}$$

Since $d_2 \leq da_2/a < d$, Proposition 3.19 implies that $r_2 \leq rd_2/d$. By the proof of Theorem 3.18, we see that $\langle v(G_2)^2\rangle \leq \langle v(E)^2\rangle$. Hence $d_2/r_2 \geq d/r > \langle v(E)^2\rangle \geq \langle v(G_2)^2\rangle$. By the same argument as in Proposition 3.17, we can show that $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}^2_{\mathcal{P}}(G_2)$ is a stable sheaf. Then by the proof of Theorem 3.16, we get our theorem. □

Remark 3.6. Assume that $r \leq 3$. Under the notation in Proposition 3.19, if $k = 1$ and $dn > r's$, then $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}$ induces an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{M}_H(r + dH + a\varrho_X)^{ss} \to \mathcal{M}_{\widehat{H}}(a + d\widehat{H} + r\varrho_{\widehat{X}})^{ss}. \tag{3.86}$$

Proof. We use the notation in the proof of Theorem 3.20. If $\operatorname{rk}\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathcal{P}}(G_1) = 1$, then Lemma 3.3 implies that $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(G_1)$ is a line bundle. Then by the stability of E, we get $\deg(\widehat{\mathcal{G}}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(G_1)) > 0$, which implies that $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(G_1)$ is an ample line bundle. Hence WIT_2 holds for $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(G_1)$ with respect to $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}$. On the other hand, by using the spectral sequence on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}} \circ \widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathcal{P}}(G_1)$, we see that $\mathcal{G}^2_{\mathcal{P}}(\widehat{\mathcal{G}}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(G_1)) = 0$. Therefore $\operatorname{rk}\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathcal{P}}(G_1) \neq 1$. Then the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.16.

Remark 3.7. If $r = 1$ and $d \geq 2$, then IT_0 holds with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ under the assumption $2(d-1)n > s$ (cf. [T, Thm. 1.1]).

If $dn \leq s$, then $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ does not always preserve the stability.

Lemma 3.21. *Assume that $d = kr + 1$ and $dn \leq s \leq (d^2 - (d-1)^2/r)n - 2r$. Then there is a μ-stable sheaf E with $v(E) = r + dH + \frac{(d^2n - s)}{r}\varrho_X$ such that E satisfies IT_0 with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$, but $\mathcal{F}^0_{\mathcal{P}}(E)$ is not μ-semi stable.*

Proof. We set $v := r + dH + \frac{(d^2n-s)}{r}\varrho_X$. We shall find a member $E \in \mathcal{M}_H(v)^{ss}$ such that $\mathcal{F}^0_{\mathcal{P}}(E)$ is not stable.

Claim 3.5. There is a μ-stable sheaf E with $v(E) = v$ such that $H^0(X, E(-kH)) \neq 0$ and IT_0 holds with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$.

We first assume this claim and show that $\mathcal{F}^0_{\mathcal{P}}(E)$ is not stable. We set $F := \operatorname{coker}(\mathcal{O}_X \to E(-kH))$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{F}^0_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{O}_X(kH)) \to \mathcal{F}^0_{\mathcal{P}}(E) \to \mathcal{F}^0_{\mathcal{P}}(F(kH)) \to 0. \tag{3.87}$$

Since $v(\mathcal{F}^0_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{O}_X(kH))) = nk^2 - k\widehat{H} + \varrho_X$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\deg(\mathcal{F}^0_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{O}_X(kH)))}{\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{F}^0_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{O}_X(kH)))} - \frac{\deg(\mathcal{F}^0_{\mathcal{P}}(E(kH)))}{\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{F}^0_{\mathcal{P}}(E(kH)))} &= \frac{-k(H^2)}{k^2n} - \frac{-rd(H^2)}{d^2n-s} \\ &= \frac{2(s-dn)}{k(d^2n-s)} \geq 0.\end{aligned} \tag{3.88}$$

Thus $\mathcal{F}^0_{\mathcal{P}}(E)$ is not stable. Therefore we get our lemma.

Proof of Claim 3.5: We note that $s \geq n$. Let F be a stable vector bundle with $v(F) = (r-1) + H - \{(s-n)/r\}\varrho_X$. Then $\operatorname{Ext}^1(F \otimes \mathcal{P}_{|X \times \{y\}}, \mathcal{O}_X) = H^1(X, F \otimes \mathcal{P}_{|X\times\{y\}})^\vee \neq 0$ for some $y \in \widehat{X}$. Let E be a sheaf on X such that $E(-kH)$ is defined as a non-trivial extension

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to E(-kH) \to F \otimes \mathcal{P}_{|X\times\{y\}} \to 0. \tag{3.89}$$

Then E is μ-stable (see [Y1, Lem. 2.1]). Moreover, since $\chi(F(kH)) = (d^2n-s)/r - nk^2 = ((d^2-(d-1)^2/r)n - s)/r \geq 2$, Theorem 4.3 in section 4 implies that IT_0 holds for a general F with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$. □

Remark 3.8. As we shall see in section 4, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ preserves the stability condition for a general μ-stable sheaf.

3.4.2. *Rank 0 case.* We next treat the rank 0 case. We start with the following lemma whose proof is similar.

Lemma 3.22. *We set $v := dH + a\varrho_X$. If $a > d(d-1)n$, then*

1. *for any stable sheaf F_1 with $v(F_1) = a_1 \pm d_1\widehat{H} + r_1\varrho_{\widehat{X}}$, $0 < d_1 < d$ and $d_1/a_1 \leq d/a$, we have $r_1 \leq 0$, and*
2. *for any stable sheaf E_1 with $v(E_1) = r_1 + d_1H + a_1\varrho_X$, $0 < d_1 < d$ and $r_1 > 0$, we have $a_1 < ad_1/d$.*

Proposition 3.23. *$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}$ induces an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{M}_H(dH + a\varrho_X)^{ss} \to \mathcal{M}_{\widehat{H}}(a + d\widehat{H})^{ss}, \tag{3.90}$$

if $a > d(d-1)n$. Moreover $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{M}_H(dH + a\varrho_X)^{ss} \to \mathcal{M}_{\widehat{H}}(a - d\widehat{H})^{ss}$, if $a > d^2n$.

Proof. We shall only prove the first claim. For $E \in \mathcal{M}_H(dH + a\varrho_X)^{ss}$, we see that E satsifies WIT_2 with respect to $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}$. we assume that $\mathcal{G}^2_{\mathcal{P}}(E)$ is not semi-stable. Then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to G_1 \to \mathcal{G}^2_{\mathcal{P}}(E) \to G_2 \to 0 \tag{3.91}$$

such that G_1 is a torsion free sheaf with $\mu_{\min,\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}}(G_1) > 0$ and G_2 is a stable sheaf with $v(G_2) = a_2 + d_2\widehat{H} + r_2\varrho_{\widehat{X}}$, (i) $0 < d_2/a_2 < d/a$, or (ii) $d_2/a_2 = d/a$ and $r_2 > 0$. We note that

$$a_2 \geq d_2a/d > d_2(d-1)n. \tag{3.92}$$

If $r_2 > 0$, then we see that $0 \leq d_2^2(H^2) - 2r_2a_2 \leq 2nd_2^2 - 2a_2 < 2nd_2(d_2 - d + 1) \leq 0$. Hence we get $r_2 \leq 0$. Thus the case (ii) does not occur. Since $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(G_1)$ is locally free (cf. Lemma 3.3) and $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(G_1)$ is a subsheaf of $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}^2_{\mathcal{P}}(G_2)$, we get $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}^1_{\mathcal{P}}(G_1) = 0$. Since $a_2/d_2 > a/d$, $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}^2_{\mathcal{P}}(G_2)$ is a destabilizing subsheaf of E. Therefore $\mathcal{G}^2_{\mathcal{P}}(E)$ is semi-stable. □

4. BIRATIONAL MAPS

Let (X, H) be a polarized abelian surface with $\operatorname{NS}(X) = \mathbb{Z}H$ again.

Proposition 4.1. *We set $v := r + dH + a\varrho_X$, $r, d > 0$. If $\langle v^2 \rangle < 2r$, then WIT_2 holds for all μ-semi-stable sheaf E with $v(E) = v$.*

Proof. We shall prove our claim by induction on $\langle v^2 \rangle$. Obviously our claim holds for semi-homogenous sheaf. Let E be a μ-semi-stable sheaf with $v(E) = v$. Assume that E is S-equivalent to $\bigoplus_{i=1}^s E_i$, where E_i, $1 \leq i \leq s$ are μ-stable sheaves. Then

$$\sum_i \frac{\langle v(E_i)^2 \rangle}{\operatorname{rk} E_i} = \frac{\langle v^2 \rangle}{r} < 2. \tag{4.1}$$

Since $\langle v(E_j)^2\rangle \geq 0$ for all j, we get $\frac{\langle v(E_i)^2\rangle}{\operatorname{rk} E_i} \leq \frac{\langle v^2\rangle}{r} < 2$. Therefore we shall prove our claim for μ-stable sheaves.

If $a = 0$, then $2nd^2 < 2r$. Hence the claim follows from Proposition 3.23. We assume that $a > 0$. Assume that $\operatorname{Ext}^1(E, \mathcal{P}_{|X\times\{y\}}) \neq 0$, $y \in \widehat{X}$. We take a non-trivial extension

$$0 \to \mathcal{P}_{|X\times\{y\}} \to G \to E \to 0. \tag{4.2}$$

Assume that G is not μ-semi-stable. Let

$$0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_s = G \tag{4.3}$$

be the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of G with respect to the μ-semi-stability. We set $v(F_i/F_{i-1}) := r_i + d_iH + a_i\varrho_X$. Then $0 < d_s/r_s < \cdots < d_2/r_2 < d_1/r_1 < d/r$ and $r_i \leq r$. We see that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_i \frac{\langle v(F_i/F_{i-1})^2\rangle}{r_i} &< \sum_i 2(n\frac{d}{r}d_i - a_i)\\ &= 2n\frac{d^2}{r} - 2a = \frac{\langle v^2\rangle}{r} < 2.\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Since $\langle v(F_j/F_{j-1})^2\rangle \geq 0$ for all j, we get $\frac{\langle v(F_i/F_{i-1})^2\rangle}{r_i} < \frac{\langle v^2\rangle}{r} < 2$. Since $r_i \leq r$, we get $\langle v(F_i/F_{i-1})^2\rangle < \langle v^2\rangle$. By induction hypothesis, our claim holds for F_i/F_{i-1}. Hence G satisfies WIT_2 with respect to $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}$. Since $\mathcal{P}_{|X\times\{y\}}$ also satisfies WIT_2 with respect to $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}$, E satisfies WIT_2 with respect to $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}$.

Assume that G is μ-semi-stable. Since $\langle v(G)^2\rangle = \langle v^2\rangle - 2a$, by induction hypothesis, our claim holds for G. Therefore E satisfies WIT_2 with respect to $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}$. □

Lemma 4.2. *We set $v = r + dH + a\rho_X$, $r, d > 0$.*

1. *If $a > 0$, then there is a stable sheaf E with $v(E) = v$ such that $\operatorname{Ext}^1(E, \mathcal{O}_X) = H^1(X,E)^\vee = 0$. In particular, WIT_2 holds for E with respect to $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}$.*
2. *If $a \leq 0$, then there is a stable sheaf E with $v(E) = v$ such that $H^0(X,E) = 0$. In particular, WIT_1 holds for E with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$.*

Proof. We take an integer b such that $0 \leq \langle (r + dH + (a+b)\varrho_X)^2\rangle = \langle v^2\rangle - 2rb < 2r$. We note that $b \geq 0$. Let F be a stable sheaf with $v(F) = r + dH + (a+b)\varrho_X$ such that $H^1(X,F) = 0$. We consider a surjective homomorphism $\phi : F \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^b \mathbb{C}_{x_i}$, where $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_b \in X$. If we choose a sufficiently general ϕ, then

$$\begin{cases} H^1(X, \ker\phi) = 0, & \text{if } a > 0,\\ H^0(X, \ker\phi) = 0, & \text{if } a \leq 0.\end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

Since $\ker\phi$ is μ-semi-stable, by the dimension counting in [Y2, sect. 2], we see that a μ-semi-stable sheaf deforms to a stable sheaf. Hence we get our claim. □

By [Y3, Cor. 4.15], we get the following theorem which was conjectured in [Y3, Conj. 4.16].

Theorem 4.3. *Assume that $r, d > 0$.*

1. *If $a > 0$, then $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}$ induces a birational map*

$$\overline{M}_H(r + dH + a\varrho_X) \cdots\to \overline{M}_{\widehat{H}}(a + d\widehat{H} + r\varrho_{\widehat{X}}). \tag{4.6}$$

2. *If $a \leq 0$, then $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ induces a birational map*

$$\overline{M}_H(r + dH + a\varrho_X) \cdots\to \overline{M}_{\widehat{H}}(-a + d\widehat{H} - r\varrho_{\widehat{X}}). \tag{4.7}$$

Definition 4.1. For a divisor D on X, we define $T_D : \mathbf{D}(X) \to \mathbf{D}(X)$ by sending $F \in \mathbf{D}(X)$ to $F \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(D) \in \mathbf{D}(X)$.

Lemma 4.4. *If $r > 0$, then $\overline{M}_H(r + dH + a\varrho_X)$ is birationally equivalent to $\overline{M}_H(r - dH + a\varrho_X)$.*

Proof. If $r|d$, then $T_{-2dH/r}$ induces an isomorphism $\overline{M}_H(r + dH + a\varrho_X) \to \overline{M}_H(r - dH + a\varrho_X)$. If $r \nmid d$, then there is a μ-stable vector bundle E with $v(E) = r + dH + a\varrho_X$ (cf. [Y2, sect. 2]). Since $E^\vee$ is also μ-stable, we get a desired birational map. □

The following was proved in [Y3].

Proposition 4.5. [Y3, Thm. 9.4] *If $r, b > 0$, then $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}$ induces an isomorphism $\overline{M}_H(r - b\varrho_X) \to \overline{M}_{\widehat{H}}(b - r\varrho_{\widehat{X}})$.*

Definition 4.2.

1. A Mukai vector $v := r + dH + a\varrho_X$ is positive, if (i) $r > 0$, or (ii) $r = 0$ and $d > 0$, or (iii) $r = d = 0$ and $a > 0$. We denote a positive v by $v > 0$.
2. For a Mukai vector v with $-v > 0$, we set $\overline{M}_H(v) := \overline{M}_H(-v)$.

By using Theorem 4.3, Lemma 4.4 and Proposition 4.5, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 4.6. *For a Mukai vector v, $\overline{M}_H(v)$ is birationally equivalent to $\overline{M}_{\widehat{H}}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(v))$.*

Assume that (X,H) is a principally polarized abelian surface, i.e, $n=1$. We identify $\widehat{X}$ with X by the canonical morphism $\phi_H : X \to \widehat{X}$. Mukai [Mu1, Thm. 3.13] showed that $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ acts on $\mathbf{D}(X)$ up to shift such that the correspondence is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto T_H. \tag{4.8}$$

Hence we get an $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ action on $H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{alg} := \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathrm{NS}(X) \oplus \mathbb{Z}\varrho_X$.

Corollary 4.7. *Let (X,H) be a principally polarized abelian surface with $\mathrm{NS}(X)=\mathbb{Z}$. Then for $g \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ and $v \in H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{alg}$, $\overline{M}_H(v)$ is birationally equivalent to $\overline{M}_H(g(v))$.*

REFERENCES

[BBH] Bartocci, C., Bruzzo, U., Hernández Ruipérez, D., *A Fourier-Mukai transform for stable bundles on $K3$ surfaces,* J. Reine Angew. Math. **486** (1997), 1–16

[Br] Bridgeland, T., *Equivalences of triangulated categories and Fourier-Mukai transforms,* Bull. London Math. Soc. **31** (1999), 25–34, math.AG/9809114

[Mu1] Mukai, S., *Duality between $D(X)$ and $D(\hat{X})$ with its application to Picard sheaves,* Nagoya Math. J., **81** (1981), 153–175

[Mu2] Mukai, S., *Fourier functor and its application to the moduli of bundles on an Abelian variety,* Adv. Studies in Pure Math. **10** (1987), 515–550

[Mu3] Mukai, S., *On the moduli space of bundles on K3 surfaces I,* Vector bundles on Algebraic Varieties, Oxford, 1987, 341–413

[SD] Saint-Donat, B., *Projective models of $K3$ surfaces,* Amer. J. Math. 96 (1974), 602–639

[T] Terakawa, H., *The k-very ampleness and k-spannedness on polarized abelian surfaces,* Math. Nachr. 195 (1998), 237–250

[V] Verbitsky, M., *Projective bundles over hyperkaehler manifolds and stability of Fourier-Mukai transform,* math.AG/0107196 v3

[Y1] Yoshioka, K., *Some examples of Mukai's reflections on K3 surfaces,* J. reine angew. Math. **515** (1999), 97–123

[Y2] Yoshioka, K., *Irreducibility of moduli spaces of vector bundles on K3 surfaces,* math.AG/9907001

[Y3] Yoshioka, K., *Moduli spaces of stable sheaves on abelian surfaces,* Math. Ann. **321** (2001), 817–884, math.AG/0009001

[Y4] Yoshioka, K., *Twisted stability and Fourier-Mukai transform,* math.AG/0106118

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KOBE UNIVERSITY, KOBE, 657, JAPAN
E-mail address: `yoshioka@math.kobe-u.ac.jp`